



Reliability of a common solution-based taste perception test: Implications for validity and a briefer test

S.M. Coulon¹, A.C. Miller, J.M. Reed, C.K. Martin^{*}

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 June 2011

Accepted 22 September 2011

Available online 1 October 2011

Keywords:

Taste perception

Taste preference

Reliability

Gustatory

Sweet

Pleasant

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to assess test–retest reliability of a common method for quantifying taste perception and its association with gustatory responses and individual risk for obesity and related health conditions. Forty-six healthy adults rated 20 mixtures comprised of 5 dairy beverages varied in fat content and mixed with sugar concentrations of 0%, 5%, 10%, and 20%, following existing procedures. Individuals rated the sweetness, creaminess, and pleasantness of each mixture during two taste testing sessions occurring 7 ± 2 days apart. Test–retest correlations were of the expected magnitudes ($r \geq .50$) only for the pleasantness ratings of mixtures with higher sugar concentrations. Correlations for sweetness and creaminess taste perception ratings were low, indicating that such ratings may not be reliable over approximately one week, and challenging the validity of such ratings for measuring trait taste perception. A shortened version of the test may be warranted.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Investigating psychophysical factors that may contribute to the development of obese and overweight conditions is a high scientific priority (Flegal, Carroll, Ogden, & Curtin, 2010). The consumption of foods and beverages high in sugar and fat is positively associated with developing these conditions (Stubbs, Ferres, & Horgan, 2000), and biological taste perception of sugar and fat varies widely among individuals (Reed & McDaniel, 2006). Taste perception refers to the reported set of oral tactile sensations an individual experiences in response to stimulation of fungiform taste papillae during food or beverage intake (Bradbury, 2004; Cowart, 1981). Taste perception is often associated with taste sensitivity, which typically refers to tactile acuity, as commonly characterized by sensitivity to substances such as 6-*n*-Propylthiouracil (PROP), though these terms often overlap in the literature (Hayes & Duffy, 2008; Lim, Urban, & Green, 2008; Salbe, DelParigi, Pratley, Drewnowski, & Tataranni, 2004). It is critical that methods for assessing such psychophysical factors are reliable, yet to our knowledge, reliability of a commonly-implemented, solution-based method for assessing taste perception remains unexplored.

Taste perception and other gustatory responses have been associated with consumption of foods high in sugar and fat, as well as phenotypic markers of obesity such as ability to taste PROP (Hayes & Duffy, 2007,

2008; Salbe et al., 2004). However, the nature of these associations remains unclear and may vary by gender (Hayes & Duffy, 2008), PROP taster status (Hayes & Duffy, 2008; Kirkmeyer & Tepper, 2003; Salbe et al., 2004; Yeomans, Tepper, Rietzschel, & Prescott, 2007), body weight (Salbe et al., 2004), and density of fungiform papillae in the mouth (Hayes & Duffy, 2007, 2008). Additionally, some studies have failed to find associations among taste perception and consumption of foods high in sugar and fat, or phenotypic markers of obesity (Drewnowski, Henderson, & Barratt-Fornell, 1998; Drewnowski, Henderson, Shore, & Barratt-Fornell, 1997; Ly & Drewnowski, 2001). These inconclusive or discrepant findings may be explained in part by the psychometric properties (e.g., reliability) of the methods used to quantify taste perception.

The common, solution-based method involves asking participants to complete a taste test in which 20 beverage mixtures that vary in sugar and fat are sampled and rated on dimensions of sweetness, creaminess, and pleasantness (Drewnowski, 1997; Drewnowski, Brunzell, Sande, Iverius, & Greenwood, 1985; Drewnowski & Greenwood, 1983; Salbe et al., 2004). Though the method has been associated with phenotypic markers of obesity, procedures have varied widely among studies, with taste tests including a range of 3–20 dairy mixtures or semi-solid dairy products that contain graded amounts of sugar and fat, and with water mixtures sometimes used instead (Cooling & Blundell, 2001; Lim et al., 2008; Ly & Drewnowski, 2001; Mennella, Pepino, Lehmann-Castor, & Yourshaw, 2010; Yeomans et al., 2007). For example, taste ratings from a 15-mixture test were positively associated with risk of developing obesity (Salbe et al., 2004) and taste ratings from a 16-mixture test were positively associated with fungiform papillae density, a genetic trait associated with the obesity phenotype (Hayes & Duffy, 2008). Conversely, taste ratings from 18-mixture and 3-mixture tests were

^{*} Corresponding author at: Pennington Biomedical Research Center, 6400 Perkins Road, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA.

E-mail address: Corby.Martin@pbrc.edu (C.K. Martin).

¹ Now at the Department of Psychology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA.

not associated with food selection or PROP taste status (Cooling & Blundell, 2001; Lim et al., 2008). Thus, while variations of this useful method have been reported in at least 10 studies to assess individual taste perception, which have produced inconsistent findings (Cooling & Blundell, 2001; Drewnowski et al., 1998, 1997; Hayes & Duffy, 2007, 2008; Keskitalo et al., 2007; Kirkmeyer & Tepper, 2003, 2005; Lim et al., 2008; Ly & Drewnowski, 2001; Mennella, Pepino, & Reed, 2005; Mennella et al., 2010; Salbe et al., 2004; Yeomans et al., 2007), the test–retest reliability of the standard 20-solution method has not been reported. Poor reliability might explain such findings, and reliability is a necessary condition for validity. In addition to concerns regarding reliability, a potential liability of the 20-mixture method includes participant burden and satisfaction. Participants anecdotally report that tasting and rating 20 dairy mixtures can be burdensome and unpleasant, a situation which could result in negative subjective states that confound taste perception ratings during the test.

The primary aim of this study was to examine the test–retest reliability of the original 20-mixture method (Drewnowski & Greenwood, 1983; Drewnowski et al., 1985). Because exclusion of mixtures rated unreliably would decrease the amount of time required to complete method procedures, decrease participant burden, and improve ease of administration and practical utility of the method, a secondary aim was to identify mixtures rated unreliably for possible exclusion from the testing paradigm.

2. Methods and procedures

2.1. Participants

Forty-six male and pre-menopausal female participants were enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria were 1) healthy men and women not diagnosed with diabetes, cardiovascular illness, or other chronic diseases, 2) between 18 and 60 years old, 3) body mass index (BMI) between 18.5 and 39.9 kg/m², and 4) for women, pre-menopausal. Individuals were excluded if they 1) smoked tobacco, 2) took medications with anticholinergic side effects (e.g. antidepressants or antipsychotics), 3) were allergic to foods used in the study, and 4) exhibited attitudes consistent with those of an eating disorder, as assessed by the Multifactorial Assessment of Eating Disorders Symptoms (*t* score >70 on ≥3 subscales; Anderson, Williamson, Duchmann, Gleaves, & Barbin, 1999). Participants were recruited via advertisement, were screened via telephone and brief on-site evaluations during which weight, height, pulse, blood pressure, and waist circumference were measured, and were monetarily compensated for their time. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Pennington Biomedical Research Center and participants gave informed consent prior to participation.

2.2. Procedures

Participants completed two test sessions that were 7 +/− 2 days apart and occurred between the hours of 10:00 AM and 2:00 PM, with the second test session scheduled within 30 min of the first. To control for cyclic sex hormones that influence taste perception and gustatory responses in females, the Menstrual Cycle Interview was used to predict the luteal phase of female participants' cycles, and to subsequently schedule both testing sessions during that phase (Geiselman et al., 1998). Immediately prior to testing, research staff confirmed that female participants were in the luteal phases of their cycles, and also that participants were not experiencing cold or allergy symptoms that could affect ability to taste or smell foods.

In private testing rooms, subjects were presented with a tray of 20 randomly ordered dairy mixtures containing graded concentrations of fat and sugar. Specifically, nonfat milk (0.1% fat), whole milk (3.5% fat), half and half (11.3% fat), cream (37.5% fat), and cream with safflower oil (52.6% fat) were each mixed with 0%, 5%, 10%, or 20% sugar by volume, resulting in 20 total mixtures. Each test session took approximately

20 min ± 5 min. For each mixture participants were instructed first to take a sip of water and swallow it, then sip the mixture, swirl it in their mouths, rate it for sweetness, creaminess, and pleasantness, and finally expectorate the mixture. Electronic Visual Analog Scales (VAS) anchored from “not at all” to “extremely” on a scale ranging 1 to 100 were used to rate sweetness, creaminess, and pleasantness for each mixture. These procedures follow those originally outlined by Drewnowski and Greenwood (1983).

3. Statistical analyses

The test–retest reliability of the method was assessed by computing Pearson correlation coefficients for each dimension,² with alpha set at .001 for significance testing, to control for potential inflation due to multiple testing. Correlation magnitudes were interpreted using standard criteria for which correlations of .10 to .29 are small, .30 to .49 are moderate, and .50 and above are large. The following a priori criteria were established for determining adequacy of test–retest reliability for a given solution: 1) significant ($p < .001$) correlation coefficient, and 2) correlation coefficient of a large magnitude ($\geq .50$).

4. Results

Data from 41 participants were included in the analyses; data from five participants were excluded due to protocol violations that occurred during test administration, or electronic malfunction during collection of VAS ratings. The sample was 73% female ($n = 30$), 63% European American ($n = 26$), 37% African American ($n = 14$), and with a mean age of 33.8 years ($SD = 11.8$) and a mean BMI of 27.2 kg/m² ($SD = 3.8$). Descriptive data for ratings of sweetness, creaminess, and pleasantness for each solution are presented in Table 1.

Correlation coefficients for ratings of sweetness ranged from $-.04$ to $.47$, though none were statistically significant with alpha set at .001, or classified as large in magnitude (Table 2). Coefficients for creaminess ratings ranged from $-.16$ to $.74$, with 3 of the 20 coefficients statistically significant and classified as large in magnitude. Coefficients for pleasantness ranged from $.18$ to $.71$, with 11 of the 20 coefficients statistically significant and classified as large in magnitude. Almost all (9/11) significant coefficients for pleasantness involved solutions with the two highest concentrations of sugar (10% and 20%).

5. Discussion

Taste perception ratings for sweetness and creaminess had poor test–retest reliability over one week, with only 3 of 40 correlation coefficients being large in magnitude and statistically significant. However, ratings for pleasantness of solutions containing higher concentrations of sugar ($\geq 10\%$) met criteria for satisfactory test–retest reliability. These results call into question the current use of sweetness and creaminess ratings, and of pleasantness ratings for mixtures containing $< 10\%$ sugar, to measure taste perception. Indeed, the poor test–retest reliability of these ratings challenges the validity of these specific solutions and/or the 20-solution paradigm as a means of quantifying taste perception, and could help explain discrepant and null findings across studies; if the method is not reliable over time, it likely is not a valid measure of trait taste perception, or of changes in perception over time.

These results suggest that a shorter test which elicits ratings only of pleasantness for the 10 solutions that contain 10% and 20% sugar will yield reliable taste sensitivity ratings. This would result in a

² PROP taste sensitivity and BMI were included in partial correlation analyses and neither variable meaningfully affected the magnitude or pattern of test–retest correlation coefficients. Therefore, correlation coefficients from analyses that did not include these partial correlations have been reported.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/906514>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/906514>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)