



The effect of anxiety on respiratory sensory gating measured by respiratory-related evoked potentials

Pei-Ying S. Chan^{a,b,*}, Andreas von Leupoldt^{b,c,d}, Margaret M. Bradley^e, Peter J. Lang^e, Paul W. Davenport^b

^a Department of Occupational Therapy, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

^b Department of Physiological Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

^c Department of Psychology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

^d Department of Systems Neuroscience, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

^e NIMH Center for the Study of Emotion and Attention, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 September 2011

Accepted 2 July 2012

Available online 7 July 2012

Keywords:

Respiratory perception

Anxiety

Affective state

Mechanosensation

RREP

ABSTRACT

Respiratory sensory gating is evidenced by decreased amplitudes of the respiratory-related evoked potentials (RREP) N1 peak for the second (S2) compared to the first occlusion (S1) when two paired occlusions are presented with a 500-millisecond (ms) inter-stimulus-interval during one inspiration. Because anxiety is prevalent in respiratory diseases and associated with altered respiratory perception, we tested whether anxiety can modulate individuals' respiratory neural gating mechanism.

By using high-density EEG, RREPs were measured in a paired inspiratory occlusion paradigm in 11 low and 10 higher anxious individuals with normal lung function.

The N1 peak gating S2/S1 ratio and the N1 S2 amplitudes were greater in higher compared to low anxious individuals (p 's < 0.05). In addition, higher anxiety levels were correlated with greater S2/S1 ratios ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.05$) and S2 amplitudes ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.05$).

The results demonstrate that anxiety is associated with reduced respiratory sensory gating which might underlie altered respiratory symptom perception in anxious individuals.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Accurate respiratory perception is important for successful management and treatment of respiratory diseases because it provides the basis for appropriate health behavior such as adequate self-medication or physician visits (Banzett et al., 2000). Reduced as well as over perception of respiratory symptoms has been shown to be associated with a negative course of respiratory diseases (Feldman et al., 2007; Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease, 2008; Kifle et al., 1997; Magadle et al., 2002; Main et al., 2003). There has been an increasing interest in studying respiratory perception and its relationship with affect and emotion in humans (Bogaerts et al., 2005; Chan and Davenport, 2010; Giardino et al., 2010; Janssens et al., 2009; Migliore Norweg et al., 2006; von Leupoldt et al., 2008, 2011). There seems to be a close relationship between anxiety and respiratory diseases (Culpepper, 2009). Previous evidence showed that individuals with anxiety disorders have

a higher risk of developing respiratory diseases than those without (Harter et al., 2003). Also, individuals with respiratory diseases show a greater risk of subsequently developing symptoms of anxiety (Chida et al., 2008; Douwes et al., 2011; Hasler et al., 2005). The facts that perceived respiratory hypersensations and ventilatory changes are diagnostic for panic disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) further suggest a relationship between respiratory sensory processing and anxiety.

Several previous studies showed that negative mood and anxiety can considerably alter respiratory perception (Bogaerts et al., 2005; Janssens et al., 2009; Lehrer et al., 2002; Rietveld, 1998; von Leupoldt and Dahme, 2007). For example, healthy volunteers and patients with respiratory disease with high levels of anxiety often report more or elevated respiratory sensations than low anxious individuals, regardless of their baseline pulmonary function or experimentally induced respiratory changes which could serve as risk factor for a negative course of disease (Giardino et al., 2010; Li et al., 2006; Livermore et al., 2008; Spinhoven et al., 1997; Vogeles and von Leupoldt, 2008). First studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or electroencephalography (EEG) demonstrated that negative affect and anxiety can modulate the neural processing of respiratory perception (von Leupoldt et al., 2008, 2010c, 2011). However, respective studies using

* Corresponding author at: Department of Occupational Therapy, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 3 2118800x5441; fax: +886 3 2118800x3163.

E-mail address: chanp@mail.cgu.edu.tw (P.-Y.S. Chan).

EEG-methodology examined the neural processing of single respiratory stimuli usually using an oddball paradigm. None of these studies examined the process of respiratory sensory gating (i.e. a neural filter process) which is investigated with a paired stimuli paradigm. Recently, Chan and Davenport (2010) suggested that elevated anxiety may also have an impact on the neural gating of respiratory sensations by showing reduced respiratory sensory gating in smokers after nicotine withdrawal which often has anxiogenic effects. But it remains unknown if anxiety is directly related to compromised respiratory gating function (Chan and Davenport, 2010). The current study was designed to determine the relationship between anxiogenic stimuli and sensory gating in response to repetitive respiratory stimuli.

Respiratory sensory gating is defined as the brain's ability to filter redundant respiratory sensory stimuli (Chan and Davenport, 2008, 2009, 2010), which is similar to the neural gating observed in other sensory modalities, e.g. for acoustic stimuli (Adler et al., 1998; Arnfred et al., 2001). Thus, neural gating is a vital mechanism to ensure optimal processing of sensory information (Braff and Geyer, 1990). Respiratory sensory gating is tested with paired stimulus paradigms in which two short inspiratory occlusions are presented with a 500-ms inter-stimulus-interval (ISI) during one inspiration while measuring the respiratory-related evoked potentials (RREP) induced by these occlusions with EEG. In normal volunteers, respiratory sensory gating is evidenced by smaller amplitudes of the RREP N1 peak for the second (S2) compared to the first occlusion (S1). The RREP N1 peak is the "gating peak" similar to the somatosensory N100 peak used in examining the neural gating in other sensory modalities (Arnfred et al., 2001). The resulting N1 peak gating ratio (S2/S1) is usually smaller than 0.5 in the respiratory domain (Chan and Davenport, 2008, 2009, 2010), but also in other sensory domains (Arnfred et al., 2001) due to the central neural sensory gating. A higher S2/S1 ratio represents a decreased neural gating of the second stimulus.

Several studies demonstrated that psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia and anxiety are associated with reduced sensory and sensorimotor gating of acoustic stimuli (Holstein et al., 2010; Hunter et al., 2011; Ludewig et al., 2002; Markham and Koenig, 2011; Markham et al., 2010; Sanchez-Morla et al., 2008). For example, veterans with PTSD were found to have altered auditory sensory gating at the right hemisphere (Hunter et al., 2011). These findings of stress and anxiety associated with reduced sensory gating in other sensory modalities suggest that the neural gating of respiratory sensations might be affected in a similar manner. If repetitive stimuli are not appropriately "filtered," the respiratory stimuli may lead to "sensory flooding" phenomena as found in studies in the acoustic domain (Adler et al., 1998).

The purpose of this study was to test whether anxiety has an impact on respiratory sensory gating. We hypothesized that higher anxious individuals compared to low anxious individuals would demonstrate reduced respiratory sensory gating as reflected by a greater RREP N1 S2/S1 ratio and a greater N1 S2 amplitude elicited in a paired inspiratory occlusion paradigm.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

After providing informed written consent, 21 healthy, non-smoking volunteers without self-reported history of cardiovascular, respiratory, psychiatric, and neurological disease participated in the study. Participants were undergraduate students recruited at the department of psychology as part of their course requirements. The demographic information is provided in Table 1. Normal baseline lung function was confirmed by spirometry (SpiroPro, Cardinal Health, Hoechst, Germany) according to the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society (Miller et al., 2005). The study protocol was approved by the University of Florida Institutional Review Board.

Table 1

Mean (SD) baseline characteristics of study groups.

	Low anxious	High anxious
Age (yr)	18.6 (1.0)	19.1 (1.0)
Weight (kg)	69.2 (11.4)	69.6 (11.1)
Height (cm)	174.1 (8.8)	175.0 (7.5)
Body mass index	22.7 (2.6)	22.6 (2.5)
FEV ₁ (L)	3.8 (0.6)	4.0 (0.6)
FEV ₁ % predicted	98.9 (10.4)	97.7 (12.5)
FVC (L)	4.5 (0.9)	4.8 (0.8)
FVC% predicted	97.9 (9.0)	96.4 (13.3)
State anxiety	25.7 (4.9)	40.5 (5.3) ^a

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume at 1 s; FVC, functional vital capacity.

^a $p < 0.001$ for the group comparison.

2.2. Anxiety ratings

The transient level of anxiety was measured with the state scale of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), which is a commonly used and validated 20-item self-report measure of anxiety symptoms (Spielberger, 1983). The STAI state summary score (STAI-S) ranges from 20 (=no anxiety symptoms) to 80 (=maximum anxiety symptoms).

2.3. Apparatus

Details on the measurement of the RREP and respective data reduction with a comparable experimental set up have recently been described (von Leupoldt et al., 2010b,c). Briefly, participants were sitting in a chair with their neck, back, arms and legs supported while breathing via a mouthpiece through a non-rebreathing valve (Hans Rudolph Inc., Kansas City, USA) and breathing circuit. The mouthpiece was suspended to minimize facial muscle activity. The inspiratory port of the valve was connected with reinforced tubing to a custom-designed pressure-activated occluder (Hans Rudolph Inc., Kansas City, USA) that was controlled with a double trigger system (Chan and Davenport, 2008). Participants' inspiration was interrupted randomly every 2–6 breaths after the onset of inspiration for 160 ms by manual occluder activation (S1), followed by an ISI of 500 ms and the second 160-ms occlusion (S2) with parallel marker signals sent to the EEG recorder. Inspiratory onset was indicated by the continuously displayed mouth pressure signal which was recorded from the center of the non-rebreathing valve by a differential pressure transducer (Model MP-45, Validyne Engineering).

High-density EEG data were recorded from the scalp using a 129-channel system (Electrical Geodesics Inc., Eugene, USA) with sampling rate = 250 Hz, vertex sensor as reference electrode and on-line bandpass filter (0.1–56 Hz). Electrode impedances were kept below 50 k Ω . This threshold has been examined in empirical studies of signal-to-noise ratios in EGI dense-array systems under varying impedance levels (Ferree et al., 2001), which showed that good signal quality can be achieved with these settings. The further processing was performed offline, using functions built into BESA 5.1 (MEGIS software, Munich, Germany) for event-related potential analysis and data averaging. Raw EEG data were visually inspected and were corrected for ocular artifacts (blinks and eye movements) using the algorithm implemented in BESA (Ille et al., 2002). After low-pass filtering (30 Hz) and artifact corrections, occlusion epochs were extracted (200 ms pre- and 1300 ms post-stimulus) and averaged across the 4 experimental blocks for each participant using a maximum of 200 μ V as cutoff amplitude. Based on previous reports (Chan and Davenport, 2010; Davenport et al., 1986; Logie et al., 1998; Redolfi et al., 2005; von Leupoldt et al., 2010c), the RREP N1 component was identified as the negative peak occurring in the centro-lateral region (sensors around C3, Cz, and C4, latency: 85–125 ms).

2.4. Protocol

After arrival at the laboratory, informed consent was obtained, STAI ratings were provided and PFT screenings were performed. After standardized instructions and the positioning of the EEG sensor net and the nose clip, participants were seated in the chair and breathed through the breathing circuit. The experimental protocol was divided into 4 blocks of 7 min each, separated by 2-min resting intervals. Each of the 4 blocks began with a 1-min epoch of adaptation to the mouthpiece breathing during which no paired inspiratory occlusions were presented. This was followed by a 6-min epoch during which paired inspiratory occlusions were presented while participants viewed affective picture series from the International Affective Picture System (Lang et al., 2008) on a monitor in order to keep the participants passively engaged. During each 6-min block 36 pictures were presented (10 s for each picture) without interstimulus interval.

2.5. Data analysis

Based on the group average of state anxiety ratings, individuals were assigned to a low anxious and higher anxious group. Outcome measures are presented as mean (\pm SD) and were averaged across the 4 experimental blocks for each participant.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/921055>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/921055>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)