ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev



Review

Is serotonin an upper or a downer? The evolution of the serotonergic system and its role in depression and the antidepressant response



Paul W. Andrews ^{a,*}, Aadil Bharwani ^a, Kyuwon R. Lee ^a, Molly Fox ^b, J. Anderson Thomson Jr. ^{c,d}

- ^a Department of Psychology, Neuroscience and Behaviour, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada
- ^b Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, University of California Irvine, Orange, CA, USA
- ^c Counseling and Psychological Services, University of Virginia Student Health, Charlottesville, VA, USA
- ^d Institute of Law, Psychiatry, and Public Policy, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 September 2013 Received in revised form 8 January 2015 Accepted 15 January 2015 Available online 24 January 2015

Keywords:
Analysis
Depression
Serotonin
Energy regulation
Learning
Plasticity
Working memory
Distraction
Hippocampus
Prefrontal cortex
Hypothalamus

ABSTRACT

The role of serotonin in depression and antidepressant treatment remains unresolved despite decades of research. In this paper, we make three major claims. First, serotonin transmission is elevated in multiple depressive phenotypes, including melancholia, a subtype associated with sustained cognition. The primary challenge to this first claim is that the direct pharmacological effect of most symptom-reducing medications, such as the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), is to increase synaptic serotonin. The second claim, which is crucial to resolving this paradox, is that the serotonergic system evolved to regulate energy. By increasing extracellular serotonin, SSRIs disrupt energy homeostasis and often worsen symptoms during acute treatment. Our third claim is that symptom reduction is not achieved by the direct pharmacological properties of SSRIs, but by the brain's compensatory responses that attempt to restore energy homeostasis. These responses take several weeks to develop, which explains why SSRIs have a therapeutic delay. We demonstrate the utility of our claims by examining what happens in animal models of melancholia and during acute and chronic SSRI treatment.

 $\hbox{@ 2015}$ Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introduction					
2.	Seroto	evated in multiple depressive phenotypes	168			
	2.1.	In peop	le	169		
		2.1.1.	Polymorphism in the SERT gene	169		
		2.1.2.	5-HIAA levels in the jugular vein	169		
		2.1.3.	Tryptophan depletion increases DRN activity in depressed patients taking ADMs	169		
		2.1.4.	Increased preference for carbohydrates in depression.	169		
		2.1.5.	Tianeptine	170		
		2.1.6.	Anxiety	170		

Abbreviations: 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin); DA, dopamine; NE, norepinephrine; ADM, antidepressant medication; SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; SERT, serotonin transporter; 5-HIAA, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid; PFC, prefrontal cortex; mPFCv, ventral part of the rodent medial prefrontal cortex; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; VLPFC, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; DRN, dorsal raphe nucleus; PET, positron emission tomography; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; NET, norepinephrine transporter; DAT, dopamine transporter.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 905 525 9140x20820; fax: +1 9055296225. E-mail address: pandrews@mcmaster.ca (P.W. Andrews).

	2.2.	In non-human animal models		170		
		2.2.1.	Stressor models	170		
		2.2.2.	Genetic models	171		
		2.2.3.	Lesion models	171		
	2.3.	Summa	ry	171		
3.	The energy regulation function of the serotonergic system					
	3.1. Overview of the serotonergic system					
	3.2.	3.2. The evolution of serotonin in mitochondria				
	3.3.	The mit	ochondrial functions of serotonin	172		
	3.4.	3.4. What is the function of the serotonergic system?				
		3.4.1.	Serotonin and energy regulation	172		
		3.4.2.	The homeostatic equilibrium level of serotonin transmission is increased in situations requiring a rebalancing of			
			metabolically expensive processes	173		
4.	The h	omeostat	cic response to SSRIs and symptom reduction	174		
	4.1.		SRI treatment disrupts energy homeostasis			
	4.2.	The bra	in's compensatory responses to SSRI treatment	174		
	4.3.	The me	chanisms of symptom reduction	175		
	4.4.	Sympto	m reduction is a temporary overshoot of the homeostatic equilibrium	176		
	4.5.	The effe	ects of SSRIs during recalibration of serotonin transmission	176		
5.	What is serotonin doing in melancholia?					
	5.1.	1. Energy is reallocated to cognition in melancholia				
	5.2.	2. The situational triggers of the melancholic state				
	5.3.	Seroton	in coordinates the mechanisms promoting rumination	178		
		5.3.1.	The amygdala and orienting attention to the problem that triggered the episode			
		5.3.2.	The nucleus accumbens and anhedonia			
		5.3.3.	The hypothalamus reallocates energy to rumination			
		5.3.4.	The hippocampus and the allocation of working memory	178		
		5.3.5.	The lateral PFC promotes distraction-resistance	179		
	5.4.	The effe	ects of ADMs on the melancholic energy allocation pattern	180		
6.	Conclusion and future directions					
	Acknowledgments					
	References 1					

1. Introduction

Depression is a heterogeneous suite of states characterized by sad mood and anhedonia (an inability to experience pleasure) (Hyman, 2010; Insel and Charney, 2003). Depressive states share some genes and neurobiology in common, but they otherwise differ in symptom and etiology (Akiskal and Akiskal, 2007; Dantzer et al., 2008; Flint and Kendler, 2014; Lux and Kendler, 2010; Maier and Watkins, 1998; Parker, 2000; Raison and Miller, 2013; Sullivan et al., 2012). For instance, depressive symptoms can occur in response to infection (called sickness behavior) or starvation (Hart, 1988; Keys et al., 1950), though the symptoms are not considered pathological in these contexts (Andrews and Durisko, in press; Dantzer, 2001; Engel and Schmale, 1972). In the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-5), the diagnostic category of major depression envelops some of the symptomatic heterogeneity by allowing for variability in weight, sleeping, and psychomotor activity (Table 1) (APA,

Episodes of major depression may be further subdivided into more precise phenotypes. Melancholia (weight loss, insomnia, and agitation/retardation) is considered by many to be the "biological core of depression" (Akiskal and Akiskal, 2007, p. 46). It is the most common and reliably diagnosed subtype, often accounting for 50% or more of clinical episodes (Angst et al., 2007; Taylor and Fink, 2008; Xiang et al., 2012). Melancholia is associated with heightened hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) activity (Taylor and Fink, 2008), which is a physiological indicator of stress (Chrousos, 2009). While it was formerly called *endogenous depression*, melancholia is in fact associated with stressful life events that are often serious or highly private in nature (Harkness and Monroe, 2002; Leff et al., 1970; Mundt et al., 2000; Willner et al., 1990). Atypical depression (weight gain, hypersomnia, and retardation) is the other

major subtype, but it is heterogeneous and not well understood (Stewart et al., 2007).

Despite decades of research, the role serotonin plays in depressive phenotypes has not been conclusively determined. The original clue that monoamines (serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine) were involved in depression came from two serendipitous discoveries (Baumeister et al., 2003; Valenstein, 1998). First, during the investigations of iproniazid as a treatment for tuberculosis and imipramine as a treatment for schizophrenia, clinicians reported that these drugs could reduce depressive symptoms. An effort was then made to find a common pharmacological property that could explain their antidepressant effect. Eventually, researchers found that iproniazid inhibits the enzymes that breakdown the monoamines, while imipramine blocks the serotonin transporter (SERT) and the norepinephrine transporter (NET). Second, clinical observations suggested that reserpine, a drug known to deplete monoamines, increased depressive symptoms. These findings appeared to solve the puzzle. By preventing the breakdown of norepinephrine and serotonin, or preventing their clearance from the synapse, iproniazid and imipramine appeared to increase forebrain monoamine levels. The monoamine-enhancing effect of antidepressant medications (ADMs), coupled with the depressioninducing effects of reserpine, suggested that depression was caused by reduced monoamine neurotransmission (Everett and Toman, 1959; Jacobsen, 1964; Schildkraut, 1965).

Other researchers soon suggested that serotonin was the most important monoamine (Coppen, 1967). Often it is called the 'monoamine hypothesis' or the 'serotonin hypothesis.' We refer to it as the *low serotonin hypothesis* because it proposes a particular direction. Researchers then focused on the creation of drugs that could increase synaptic serotonin without perturbing other monoamines by selectively binding to the serotonin transporter (SERT). This research effort was successful, and the selective

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/937684

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/937684

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>