



Review

Is meditation associated with altered brain structure? A systematic review and meta-analysis of morphometric neuroimaging in meditation practitioners



Kieran C.R. Fox ^{a,*}, Savannah Nijeboer ^a, Matthew L. Dixon ^a, James L. Floman ^b,
Melissa Ellamil ^a, Samuel P. Rumak ^a, Peter Sedlmeier ^c, Kalina Christoff ^{a,d}

^a Department of Psychology, University of British Columbia, 2136 West Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

^b Department of Educational and Counselling Psychology, and Special Education, University of British Columbia,
2125 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

^c Institut für Psychologie, Technische Universität Chemnitz, 43 Wilhelm-Raabe Street, Chemnitz, Germany

^d Brain Research Centre, University of British Columbia, 2211 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 2B5, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 December 2013

Received in revised form 20 March 2014

Accepted 24 March 2014

Keywords:

Meditation
Neuroimaging
Meta-analysis
Systematic review
Structural neuroimaging
Morphometric neuroimaging
Mindfulness
Anatomical likelihood estimation
Voxel based morphometry
Diffusion tensor imaging
Gray matter concentration
Mental practice

ABSTRACT

Numerous studies have begun to address how the brain's gray and white matter may be shaped by meditation. This research is yet to be integrated, however, and two fundamental questions remain: Is meditation associated with altered brain structure? If so, what is the magnitude of these differences? To address these questions, we reviewed and meta-analyzed 123 brain morphology differences from 21 neuroimaging studies examining ~300 meditation practitioners. Anatomical likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analysis found eight brain regions consistently altered in meditators, including areas key to meta-awareness (frontopolar cortex/BA 10), exteroceptive and interoceptive body awareness (sensory cortices and insula), memory consolidation and reconsolidation (hippocampus), self and emotion regulation (anterior and mid cingulate; orbitofrontal cortex), and intra- and interhemispheric communication (superior longitudinal fasciculus; corpus callosum). Effect size meta-analysis (calculating 132 effect sizes from 16 studies) suggests a global 'medium' effect size (Cohen's $d = 0.46$; $r = .19$). Publication bias and methodological limitations are strong concerns, however. Further research using rigorous methods is required to definitively link meditation practice to altered brain morphology.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	49
1.1. Overview of the present meta-analysis	50
1.1.1. Morphometric neuroimaging meta-analysis	50
1.1.2. Effect size meta-analysis	52
1.2. Morphometric neuroimaging of brain structure in meditation practitioners	52
1.3. Does increase of structure equal enhancement of function?	52
1.4. Are disparate morphometric neuroimaging methods comparable?	52
1.5. The varieties of meditative experience	53
1.6. Prior syntheses	53
2. Review methods	53
2.1. Study selection	53
2.1.1. Search strategy	53

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 778 968 3334; fax: +1 604 822 6923.

E-mail address: kfox@psych.ubc.ca (K.C.R. Fox).

2.1.2. Excluded studies	53
2.1.3. Included studies	53
2.2. Short-term vs. long-term meditation training	54
2.3. Review method	54
2.3.1. Classification of primary data	54
2.3.2. Determining consistent brain structure differences	54
2.3.3. Determining the magnitude of differences	55
2.4. Anatomical likelihood estimation (ALE) neuroimaging meta-analysis	55
2.5. Effect size meta-analysis	56
2.5.1. General method	56
2.5.2. Adjusting for potential inflation of effect sizes	56
2.5.3. Other caveats regarding effect sizes in neuroimaging	56
2.6. Estimating publication bias in meta-analytic results	56
2.7. Reporting and classification of results	57
3. Results	57
3.1. Qualitative review of group differences in long-term practitioners and novices	57
3.2. Anatomical likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analysis	57
3.3. Qualitative review of structural differences after short-term meditation training	57
3.4. Hemispheric asymmetries	58
3.5. Correlations between brain structure and meditation experience or behavioral measures	58
3.6. Controls > meditators	58
3.7. Global mean effect sizes for morphometric studies of meditation	60
3.8. Mean effect sizes by tissue type (gray vs. white matter)	60
3.9. Mean effect size as a function of meditation experience	60
3.10. Assessment of publication bias	61
3.11. Stringent meta-analyses of brain regions implicated in meditation	61
4. Convergent findings	61
4.1. Gray matter regions	61
4.1.1. Insular cortex	61
4.1.2. Somatomotor cortices	62
4.1.3. Anterior precuneus (BA 7)	62
4.1.4. Rostrolateral prefrontal cortex (RLPFC)/BA 10	62
4.1.5. Anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and mid-cingulate cortex (MCC)	63
4.1.6. Orbitofrontal cortex (OFC/BA 11/13/47)	63
4.1.7. Fusiform and inferior temporal gyri (BA 20/21)	63
4.1.8. Hippocampus	64
4.2. White matter pathways	64
4.2.1. Corpus callosum	64
4.2.2. Superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF)	64
5. Discussion	64
5.1. Is meditation associated with altered brain structure?	64
5.2. Persistence or transience of structural differences	65
5.3. Distinctive morphological differences with different meditation practices?	65
5.4. Structural decrease in meditation practitioners?	66
5.5. Underlying cellular basis of macroscale differences in brain structure	66
5.6. Correlations between structural measures and experience or behavior	66
5.7. Integration of anatomical investigations with behavioral measures	66
5.8. Integration of anatomical investigations with functional neuroimaging	66
6. Meta-analytic methods, reliability, and limitations	67
6.1. Reliability of meta-analyses	67
6.1.1. Determining consistent brain structure differences	67
6.1.2. Effect sizes in morphometric neuroimaging	67
6.1.3. Publication bias	67
6.2. Selection bias and preexisting brain structure differences	67
6.3. Divergent findings	67
6.4. Few research groups and overlapping samples	68
6.5. Lack of exploratory analyses (controls > meditators)	68
7. Conclusions and directions for future research	68
7.1. Is meditation associated with consistent alterations of brain structure?	68
7.2. What is the magnitude of brain morphology differences in meditators?	68
7.3. Directions for future research	69
7.4. Conclusions	69
Conflicts of interest	70
Acknowledgments	70

1. Introduction

A range of effects have been associated with long- and short-term training in the mental practices broadly referred to as

'meditation.' A few striking examples include enhancement of executive functions, such as attention (Jha et al., 2007), working memory (Jha et al., 2010), and introspection (Fox et al., 2012; Sze et al., 2010); improved immune function (Davidson

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/937902>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/937902>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)