

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Cognitive Brain Research 25 (2005) 579-582



www.elsevier.com/locate/cogbrainres

Short Communication

## Relation between saccade trajectories and spatial distractor locations

Stefan Van der Stigchel\*, Jan Theeuwes

Department of Cognitive Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Van der Boechorststraat 1, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Accepted 2 August 2005 Available online 30 August 2005

## Abstract

This study shows that the exact spatial location of a distractor can have a modulatory influence on saccade trajectories. Distractors close to the target evoke saccade trajectories that are directed towards the distractor, while distractors close to fixation result in saccades that are directed away from the distractor. This finding questions the idea that target positions are coarsely coded in the superior colliculus. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Theme:* Motor systems and sensorimotor integration *Topic:* Oculomotor system

Keywords: Eye movement; Saccade; Superior colliculus

Eye movement trajectories are rarely fully straight [20]. The trajectories of fast eye movements (saccades) in a visual field with a single target are typically idiosyncratic and do not show much within-subject variability [1]. Moreover, saccade trajectories are known to be influenced by various factors. For instance, trajectories deviate away from irrelevant non-targets (distractors) [3,6], whereas deviation towards is observed in two-step [4] and visual search paradigms [7].

Deviation *away* from a distractor is assumed to be caused by inhibition of the vector that represents the distractor to select the target [7,11,15]. This inhibitory process also affects the target vector, causing the resulting vector to be pointed away compared to the original target vector. This then results in a saccade that is initially directed away from the target location. An independent feedback mechanism takes care of the deviation back towards the target location [10]. Deviation *towards* a distractor is associated with the lack of inhibition. When inhibition is absent, the executed vector will point in the direction of the combined target and distractor vector [15].

Evidence suggests that the precise spatial location of a distractor does not have a modulatory effect on saccade deviations [8,11]. This is an important finding because it

suggests that object locations are coarsely coded in the brain area that has a major influence in target selection and the exact saccade execution, the superior colliculus (SC) [12]. Here, we investigate the relation between saccade deviation and distractor location on the basis of two hypotheses:

- Distractors presented within 20° of the target are known to influence saccade amplitude in that these distractors sometimes elicit a 'global effect' (saccades land in the direction of the distractor location) [2]. We therefore assume that saccade trajectories will be initiated *towards* the distractor when presented close enough to the target location.
- Research on reaching movements has shown that distractors close to the fixation point elicit large inhibition and therefore result in movements that are initiated *away* from the distractor location [15]. Because evidence suggests that reaching and eye movement trajectories are subject to roughly the same phenomena [16], it might be the case that this same finding can be observed for saccade trajectories.

On the basis of these two assumptions, we compared saccade trajectories to a target in the presence of a distractor that was either positioned close to fixation or close to the target location. If the two hypotheses indeed are reflected in

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: S.Van.der.Stigchel@psy.vu.nl (S. Van der Stigchel).



Fig. 1. Top: sequence of events on a trial in which the distractor was presented close to the target. Bottom: two examples of possible target locations (close to fixation and close to distractor) and the corresponding distances.

the data, this will provide evidence against the view that elements are coarsely coded in the SC and that the exact spatial location of a distractor has no influence on eye movement trajectories.

In the current experiment, the display started with a central fixation point. After 600 ms, a cue appeared pointing either upwards or downwards (see Fig. 1). Subjects were instructed to remain fixated at the central fixation point. A delay of 800-1300 ms then occurred followed by the onset of the target. The target was a light gray filled circle with a diameter of 0.54°. The location of the onset was related to the direction of the cue: i.e. if the line was pointing upwards, the target was presented 7.19° above fixation point. At the same time, a diamond shape distractor  $(0.81^{\circ} \times 0.81^{\circ})$  appeared at one of the four possible locations. The distractor was placed  $x = \pm 2.78^{\circ}$  and  $y = \pm 2.78^{\circ}$  (near fixation) or  $y = \pm 5.56^{\circ}$  (near target) from fixation point. The distance between the close to the fixation distractor and fixation was 3.93°, while the distance between the close to the target distractor and the target was 3.23°. The sequence of trials was randomly assigned.

Saccade trajectories to the target location were investigated by using two measures. We examined saccade deviation by calculating the mean angle of the actual saccade path relative to the angle of a straight line between the starting point of the saccade and the target location. The mean angle of the actual saccade path was calculated by averaging the angle of the straight line between fixation and all 2-ms sample points (e.g., [6,14]).

Furthermore, the initial saccade direction was examined by calculating the angle of the saccade 12 ms after its initiation<sup>1</sup> (see, for similar measures, [4,17]). Because the initial direction of the saccade and the feedback mechanism are assumed to be independent [10], the initial saccade direction will be independent of the saccade endpoint, providing a good indication of the direction of the vector on saccade initiation. Positive and negative deviations refer to angles towards and away of the distractor location, respectively.

The two measures were calculated for the two distractor locations and with respect to their latency. Saccade latency was defined as the interval between stimulus display onset and the initiation of a saccadic eye movement. To examine the relationship between saccade deviation and latency, we ordered the latencies in different bins. On the basis of their latency (fastest to slowest) and condition (close to fixation and close to target), for each participant, saccade trajectories were divided in two times five bins. By comparing the five bins, we could examine whether saccade trajectories change as a function of saccade latency for the two distractor locations. For each bin and each condition, we calculated the mean latency (see Table 1). There was no difference in mean latency per bin between the two conditions (F(4,36) =1.9; P > 0.10).

An analysis of variance on saccade deviation with condition and bin showed a main effect of condition (F(1,9) = 9.72; P < 0.02). Distractors close to fixation point evoke a deviation away from the distractor (mean = -0.041 rad; standard error = 0.021 rad), while distractors close to the target location show a deviation towards the distractor (mean = 0.024 rad; standard error = 0.038 rad).

Table	1								
Mean	saccade	latency	for	each	bin	for	each	condition	

	Bin 1	Bin 2	Bin 3	Bin 4	Bin 5
Close to fixation	181.3	217.2	237.0	261.2	320.8
Close to target	179.0	212.0	233.7	258.3	324.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It must be noted that McSorley et al. [8] adopted a different way to compute the saccade trajectory. Both measures are adequate ways to compute the initial saccade trajectory. However, it is possible that differences in findings are the result of using different measures.

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9408006

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/9408006

Daneshyari.com