

Spontaneous patterned retinal activity and the refinement of retinal projections

Christine L. Torborg^{a,b}, Marla B. Feller^{a,*}

^aNeurobiology Section, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0357, USA

^bLaboratory of Cellular and Synaptic Neurophysiology, NICHD, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

Received 19 May 2005; received in revised form 30 August 2005; accepted 22 September 2005

Abstract

A characteristic feature of sensory circuits is the existence of orderly connections that represent maps of sensory space. A major research focus in developmental neurobiology is to elucidate the relative contributions of neural activity and guidance molecules in sensory map formation. Two model systems for addressing map formation are the retinotopic map formed by retinal projections to the superior colliculus (SC) (or its non-mammalian homolog, the optic tectum (OT)), and the eye-specific map formed by retinal projections to the lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus. In mammals, a substantial portion of retinotopic and eye-specific refinement of retinal axons occurs before vision is possible, but at a time when there is a robust, patterned spontaneous retinal activity called retinal waves. Though complete blockade of retinal activity disrupts normal map refinement, attempts at more refined perturbations, such as pharmacological and genetic manipulations that alter features of retinal waves critical for map refinement, remain controversial. Here we review: (1) the mechanisms that underlie the generation of retinal waves; (2) recent experiments that have investigated a role for guidance molecules and retinal activity in map refinement; and (3) experiments that have implicated various signaling cascades, both in retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and their post-synaptic targets, in map refinement. It is likely that an understanding of retinal activity, guidance molecules, downstream signaling cascades, and the interactions between these biological systems will be critical to elucidating the mechanisms of sensory map formation.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Retinal projection; Retinotopic map; Optic tectum

Contents

1. Introduction	214
1.1. Overview of eye-specific and retinotopic refinement of retinal projections.	214
1.2. Mechanisms of map refinement	215
2. The cellular basis of spatiotemporal patterns of retinal waves	216
2.1. Retinal waves drive distinct correlations	216
2.1.1. Different methods for monitoring retinal waves lead to distinct information about firing patterns.	216
2.1.2. Retinal waves have distinct spatiotemporal patterns	216
2.2. Fast neurotransmitter systems play both requisite and modulatory roles.	217
2.2.1. Three distinct wave generating circuits—a changing role for fast cholinergic and glutamatergic transmission	217
2.2.2. A changing modulatory role for GABA and glycine transmission.	218
2.2.3. A requisite role for adenosine	219
2.3. Gap junction regulation of firing patterns	219
2.4. Modulation of spatiotemporal properties by second messengers	220
2.5. Differences in the retinal wave mechanisms for chicks and turtles.	220

Abbreviations: RGC, retinal ganglion cell; dLGN, dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus; SC, superior colliculus; OT, optic tectum; SAC, starburst amacrine cell

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 858 822 4273; fax: +1 858 534 7309.

E-mail address: mfeller@ucsd.edu (M.B. Feller).

3.	Role for neural activity in the formation of retinotopic and eye-specific maps.	221
3.1.	Spontaneous activity-dependent retinotopic refinement of retinocollicular projections	221
3.2.	Vision-dependent retinotopic refinement of goldfish retinotectal projections during regeneration	222
3.3.	Spontaneous activity-dependent eye-specific refinement of retinogeniculate projections.	222
3.3.1.	Eye-specific layer formation requires retinal activity and is a competitive process	222
3.3.2.	Is the pattern of activity important for driving eye-specific refinement?	223
3.3.3.	Layer formation and eye-specific segregation are separable processes.	223
3.3.4.	The maintenance of layers requires activity.	224
3.3.5.	Quantification of eye-specific refinement.	224
3.4.	Vision-dependent eye-specific segregation in binocularly innervated frog tectum	224
4.	Role for guidance molecules in the formation of retinotopic and eye-specific maps	225
4.1.	Markers that specify retinotopic location.	225
4.1.1.	Eph-A receptors and ephrin-As encode topographic information along the anterior–posterior axis of the colliculus	225
4.1.2.	Gradients of Eph-B receptors and ephrin-Bs encode topographic information along the M–L axis of the colliculus	225
4.2.	Factors that contribute to eye-specific layer formation	226
4.2.1.	Pre-synaptic: factors that distinguish ipsilateral- from contralateral-projecting retinal axons.	226
4.2.2.	Post-synaptic: factors that differentiate ipsilateral and contralateral recipient layers in the dLGN.	226
5.	Interactions between activity and guidance molecules	227
5.1.	Evidence for distinct functions of retinal activity and guidance molecules.	227
5.2.	Activity-dependent regulation of guidance molecule expression in the developing visual system	227
6.	Linking patterned activity to axonal rearrangements.	228
6.1.	Evidence for Hebbian-based plasticity in retinocollicular and retinogeniculate synapses	228
6.1.1.	Hebbian plasticity at retinocollicular synapses	228
6.1.2.	Hebbian plasticity at retinogeniculate synapses	229
6.1.3.	Hebbian plasticity and axonal rearrangements	229
6.2.	Activity-dependent gene-transcription—CREB	230
6.3.	Summary of other signaling cascades involved in map refinement—roles for BDNF and MHC.	230
7.	Conclusions.	231
	Acknowledgements	231
	References	231

1. Introduction

The brain contains highly ordered circuitry in which sensory inputs are organized into maps that represent different features of sensory space. One dogma of developmental neurobiology is that guidance molecules mediate initial map development, while refinement of these maps requires neural activity. This underlies many hypotheses regarding the relative contribution of activity-dependent and activity-independent factors in map formation.

In the visual system, two well-studied organizational schemes are retinotopic and eye-specific maps (see Fig. 1). In this section, we introduce these maps and provide overviews of the hypotheses regarding the relative role of activity-dependent processes and guidance molecules in their development.

1.1. Overview of eye-specific and retinotopic refinement of retinal projections.

Mature retinotopic maps are organized such that a visual stimulus activates neighboring retinal neurons, which in turn project to and stimulate neighboring neurons in the corresponding target structure in the brain. For example, in the superior colliculus (SC), and its non-mammalian homolog the optic tectum (OT), the nasal–temporal (N–T) axis of the retina maps to the posterior–anterior (P–A) axis of the SC, such that stimulation of the nasal retina elicits responses in posterior SC

neurons, while anterior SC neurons respond to stimulation of temporal retina. The dorsal–ventral (D–V) axis of the retina maps along the lateral–medial (L–M) axis of the SC in a similar fashion. In the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus (dLGN), the N–T axis of retina maps to the D–V axis of the dLGN and the D–V axis of retina maps project to the L–M axis of the dLGN (Fig. 1).

Eye-specific maps are organized such that neurons located in distinct regions in the target structure respond to visual stimuli that activate neurons in one eye or the other. For example, axons from contralateral and ipsilateral retinas make synaptic connections with neurons in separate layers within the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus, each layer receiving only ipsilateral or only contralateral input. Similarly, axons from the distinct eye-specific regions in the dLGN project to distinct alternating right-eye/left-eye columns, called ocular dominance columns, in primary visual cortex. Though the retinal projections to the SC are primarily contralateral, there is an ipsilateral projection to a distinct cellular layer in the rostral part of the nucleus (Fawcett et al., 1984; O’Leary et al., 1986; Thompson and Holt, 1989).

In mammals, the precise targeting of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons necessary for retinotopy and eye-specific layers emerges from initially unordered projections of RGC axons within the SC and dLGN. Within the SC, all RGC axons initially extend beyond their correct topographic position, growing toward the posterior pole (Simon and O’Leary, 1992).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9435161>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/9435161>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)