



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Expo. Math. 23 (2005) 71–79

EXPOSITIONES
MATHEMATICAE

www.elsevier.de/exmath

A “Generalized Trace Formula” for Bell numbers[☆]

Alexandre Junod

*Université de Neuchâtel, Institut de Mathématiques, Rue Émile-Argand 11, CH-2007 Neuchâtel,
Suisse*

Received 18 August 2003; received in revised form 5 April 2004

Abstract

The n th Bell number B_n is the number of ways to partition a set of n elements into nonempty subsets. We generalize the “trace formula” of Barsky and Benzaghou [1], which asserts that for an odd prime p and an appropriate constant τ_p , the relation $B_n = -\text{Tr}(\vartheta^{n-1-\tau_p})B_{\tau_p}$ holds in \mathbb{F}_p , where ϑ is a root of $\tilde{g}(x) = x^p - x - 1$ and $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_p[\vartheta] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is the trace form. We deduce some new interesting congruences for the Bell numbers, generalizing miscellaneous well-known results including those of Radoux [4].

© 2005 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

MSC 2000: primary 11B73

Keywords: Bell numbers; congruences

1. Generating function

Let \mathcal{A} be any integral domain containing \mathbb{Z} . As in [2], we consider the linear operator $\Phi : \mathcal{A}[x] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[x]$ which maps the Pochhammer polynomial $(x)_n = x(x-1) \cdots (x-(n-1))$ on x^n and we define the Bell polynomials $B_n(x) = \Phi(x^n)$. With the Stirling numbers of the second kind, we have

$$B_n(x) = \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} x^1 + \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} x^2 + \cdots + \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ n \end{Bmatrix} x^n$$

[☆] Research supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

and the Bell numbers are simply $B_n = B_n(1) = [\Phi(x^n)]_{x=1}$. The ordinary generating function for Bell polynomials is given by

$$F(x, z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(x)z^n = 1 + \Phi\left(xz \sum_{n \geq 0} (xz)^n\right).$$

The relation $x\Phi((x)_n) = x^{n+1} = \Phi((x)_{n+1}) = \Phi(x(x-1)_n)$ holds for every integer n and by linearity, we deduce that $\Phi(xf(x)) = x\Phi(f(x+1))$ for any polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{A}[x]$.

Using this fact, we get

$$F(x, z) = 1 + xz \Phi\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} ((x+1)z)^n\right) = 1 + xz \Phi\left(\frac{1}{1-z-xz}\right)$$

and therefore

$$F(x, z) = 1 + \frac{xz}{1-z} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{1-xz/(1-z)}\right) = 1 + \frac{xz}{1-z} \Phi\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{xz}{1-z}\right)^n\right).$$

We can summarize this with the relation

$$F(x, z) = 1 + x \cdot h(z)F(x, h(z)),$$

where $h(z) = z/(1-z)$ is the Möbius transformation associated to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The compositions of $h(z)$ are $h^{\circ k}(z) = z/(1-kz)$ and for any integer m , we have

$$F(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x^k h(z)h^{\circ 2}(z) \cdots h^{\circ k}(z) + x^m h(z)h^{\circ 2}(z) \cdots h^{\circ m}(z)F(x, h^{\circ m}(z)).$$

Since $h^{\circ m}(z) \equiv z \pmod{m\mathbb{Z}[[z]]}$, we get (modulo $m\mathbb{Z}[x][[z]]$)

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, z) \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x^{m-1-k} h(z)h^{\circ 2}(z) \cdots h^{\circ(m-1-k)}(z) \\ & + x^m h(z)h^{\circ 2}(z) \cdots h^{\circ(m-1)}(z)zF(x, z), \end{aligned}$$

hence $(1-z)(1-2z) \cdots (1-(m-1)z)F(x, z)$ is congruent (modulo $m\mathbb{Z}[x][[z]]$) to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} [(xz)^{m-1-k} (1+kz)(1+(k-1)z) \cdots (1+z)] + (xz)^m F(x, z).$$

Given a prime p , we can imbed the situation in the p -adic ring \mathbb{Z}_p and the above congruence is still valid modulo $m\mathbb{Z}_p[x][[z]]$. The particular case when m is divisible by p , say $m=np$, is interesting: in [2], we proved that $(x)_{np} \equiv (x^p - x)^n \pmod{(np/2)\mathbb{Z}_p[x]}$, and taking

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9492796>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/9492796>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)