

Short communication

Perception of simulated driving performance after sleep restriction and caffeine

Sarah N. Biggs^{a,b,*}, Andrew Smith^c, Jill Dorrian^{a,b}, Kathryn Reid^d, Drew Dawson^b,
Cameron van den Heuvel^b, Stuart Bauk^b

^aSchool of Psychology, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia

^bCentre for Sleep Research, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia

^cDepartment of Psychology, University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, Australia

^dCenter for Sleep and Circadian Biology and Transportation Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

Received 9 February 2007; received in revised form 18 May 2007; accepted 20 June 2007

Abstract

Objective: As feelings of alertness are reported to be highly correlated with performance perception, the objective of this study was to determine whether caffeine, a common countermeasure to driver sleepiness, affected a sleepy driver's ability to monitor his or her simulated driving performance. **Methods:** Twelve healthy young adults (six males, six females) participated in three counterbalanced, blinded, daytime conditions: control [9 h time in bed (TIB)], 100 mg caffeine (4 h TIB), and placebo (4 h TIB). Driving performance was measured through lane drift on a series of 30-min simulated driving sessions. Subjective sleepiness and perception of driving performance were measured at 5-min intervals during driving sessions via the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale and a corresponding perception scale. **Results:** Sleep

restriction had a significant detrimental effect on driving performance and subjective measures. Caffeine resulted in significant improvements across all measures. Subjective measures were found to be significantly correlated after sleep restriction and prior to caffeine. Correlations between actual and perceived performance were nonsignificant across all conditions. **Conclusions:** The strong correlation between subjective measures supports the postulation that sleepiness is used as a cue for performance prediction when sleep restricted. The relationship between perceived and actual performance after fatigue countermeasures remains inconclusive. Further research, addressing limitations, is needed.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Caffeine; Driver sleepiness; Perception; Simulated driving; Young drivers

Introduction

While the impact of sleep restriction and fatigue countermeasures on simulated driving has received much attention, subjective monitoring of driving performance in these conditions has been largely ignored. This may be an important oversight as the ability to accurately self-monitor performance may determine whether an individual engages in risk-reducing behavior [1–3].

Self-ratings can reliably indicate performance, especially if individuals have access to internal feedback, such as feelings of sleepiness [1,4,5]. As subjective sleepiness (SS) increases, concomitant changes occur in electroencephalograph (EEG) activity [6], suggesting that individuals accurately judge neurophysiological sleepiness levels. Increases in SS are also associated with significant driving deficits [7–10]. This suggests that knowledge of sleepiness may provide information to drivers on their performance deficits.

Up to 78% of drivers report drinking caffeinated beverages to alleviate sleepiness [11]. Caffeine suppresses the drive for sleep by stimulating neurons involved in arousal and inhibiting neurons involved in sleep [12–15].

* Corresponding author. Discipline of Paediatrics, University of Adelaide, Women's and Children's Hospital, 72 King William Road, North Adelaide SA 5006, Australia. Tel.: +61 8 8161 6456; fax: +61 8 8161 7050.

E-mail address: sarah.biggs@adelaide.edu.au (S.N. Biggs).

Caffeine consumption improves driving performance and reduces sleepiness in sleep-restricted individuals [16]; however, previous research indicates that there may also be a tendency to overestimate performance when sleepiness is masked by stimulants [4]. Thus, drivers may underestimate impairment after ingesting caffeine and continue driving when it is unsafe.

This study aimed to determine whether individuals can accurately predict their driving performance (a) when sleep restricted and (b) after feelings of sleepiness have been alleviated by caffeine. It was hypothesized that predictions of driving performance would be significantly more accurate when sleep restricted than when sleepiness was alleviated with caffeine.

Methods

This study was approved by the University of South Australia Human Research Ethics Committee. In response to posted advertisements, 15 university students volunteered to participate, giving written consent. Prior to completion, two participants withdrew due to time constraints and one withdrew due to motion sickness caused by the driving simulator. Twelve participants (six males, six females), between 20 and 30 years of age (mean=23.8, S.D.=3.4), completed all experimental conditions. All were nonsmokers, were mild to moderate coffee drinkers (1–3 cups/day), were within normal BMI range, were regular drivers, were not currently shift workers, did not report any current health problems, and were screened for excessive sleepiness with the Epworth Sleepiness Scale [17].

A 1-h practice session was given on the driving simulator 1 week prior to the study (Fig. 1). Driving trials were counterbalanced to control for order effects. Thirty-minute sessions were chosen to eliminate confounding effects of boredom—known to produce feelings similar to sleepiness when not sleep restricted [18]—in the control condition.

All sleep opportunities took place at home. Four hours of sleep restriction was chosen as it has been shown to significantly affect simulated driving [19]. Normal sleeping patterns and adherence to the sleep protocol were monitored through wrist actigraphy and telephone checks. Participants were asked to abstain from alcohol and caffeinated products from 2200 h the night before each trial.

For each trial, participants arrived at the laboratory at 1300 h. A standardized set of test instructions was then given, instructing participants to attempt to maintain a stable

road position, follow posted speed limits, and respond verbally to a tone to indicate their level of sleepiness and perceived driving performance. Two subjective scales (see below), placed on either side of the computer screen, were rated consecutively at 5-min intervals during simulated driving. Responses were recorded manually by researchers via CCTV monitoring. Two 30-min simulated drives commenced at 1400 and 1500 h, respectively, coinciding with the daytime circadian nadir in alertness.

Caffeine (100 mg) and placebo (lactose) capsules (identical in size, color, and taste) were administered—blind and counterbalanced—at the beginning of the appropriate break period (30 min). A 100-mg caffeine dose was chosen as (a) previous blinded laboratory studies have shown this to be the minimum amount for perception of physiological effects [12,20] and (b) this dose approximately equates to one cup of espresso coffee (85 mg), the quantity most likely to be consumed during on-road driving. Consumed in this way, caffeine reaches peak plasma concentrations after approximately 30 min [21] with a 4-h half-life [22], suggesting that effects should be maximal throughout the 30-min drive. In the control condition, no capsule was given. During the 30 min between drives, participants were allowed to read or watch TV but did not nap or leave the laboratory.

Outcome measures

Actigraphy

Sleep/wake cycles were assessed using activity monitors (Actiwear-Sleep, Mini Mitter Co., Inc., 2000). Average sleep time was 8 h 31 min (± 1 h 21 min) for the 5 days prior to testing, 8 h 4 min (± 1 h 9 min) for control, 3 h 47 min (± 16 min) for caffeine, and 3 h 52 min (± 42 min) for placebo conditions.

Driving simulator performance

Driving performance was measured using the York Driving Simulation (YDS) software (DriveSim 3.00, York Computer Technologies, Kingston, Ontario, Canada), sensitive to sleep deprivation and countermeasure conditions [23,24]. As the most sensitive to mild sleep restriction [19,25], lane drift was chosen as the performance outcome measure. YDS lane position is denoted as a percentage (0%—vehicle is off the road to the right, 100%—vehicle is off the road to the left). Australians drive on the left-hand side; thus, lane drift, defined as a deviation $>85\%$, indicated a crossing

	22:00	0:00	2:00	4:00	6:00	14:00	14:30	30-min Break T'ment Given	15:00	15:30
Control	Sleep (9hrs TIB)						30 min drive	None	30 min drive	
Caffeine	Sleep (4hrs TIB)						30 min drive	100mg Caffeine	30 min drive	
Placebo	Sleep (4hrs TIB)						30 min drive	Lactose Placebo	30 min drive	

Fig. 1. Experimental protocol. Counterbalanced trials were separated by a minimum of 5 days. Each hour drive was broken by a 30-min period where caffeine, placebo (blinded), or enough rest was given.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/950145>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/950145>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)