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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give an uniform approach to different kinds of degenerate hyperbolic Cauchy problems. We prove that a weakly hyperbolic equation, satisfying an intermediate condition between effective hyperbolicity and the $C^{\infty}$ Levi condition, and a strictly hyperbolic equation with non-regular coefficients with respect to the time variable can be reduced to firstorder systems of the same type. For such a kind of systems, we prove an energy estimate in Sobolev spaces (with a loss of derivatives) which gives the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem in $C^{\infty}$. In the strictly hyperbolic case, we also construct the fundamental solution and we describe the propagation of the space singularities of the solution which is influenced by the non-regularity of the coefficients with respect to the time variable.
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## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.P\left(t, x, D_{t} D_{x}\right) u(t, x)=0, \quad(t, x) \in\right]-T, T\left[\times \mathbf{R}^{n},\right.  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \\
\partial_{t} u(0, x)=u_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for the second-order operator

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P=D_{t}^{2}-a\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)+b\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)+c(t, x)  \tag{1.2}\\
a(t, x, \xi)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \\
b(t, x, \xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$D=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \partial$, under the hyperbolicity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t, x, \xi) \geqslant 0, \quad t \in]-T, T\left[, x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n} .\right. \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concerning the regularity of the coefficients, we assume $a_{i j}(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), t \in$ $]-T, T\left[, b_{j}, c \in \mathcal{B}^{0}(]-T, T\left[; \mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right), \mathcal{B}^{k}(\Omega ; Y)\right.$ the space of all functions from $\Omega$ to $Y$ which are bounded together with all their derivatives up to the order $k$. The regularity of the $a_{i j}$ 's with respect to the time variable $t$ will be specified from case to case.

We say that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is well-posed in the space $X$ of functions in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ if for every $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X$ there is a unique solution $u \in C^{1}(]-T, T[; X)$.

It is well known that in the strictly hyperbolic case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a(t, x, \xi) \geqslant a_{0}|\xi|^{2}, \quad a_{0}>0, t \in\right]-T, T\left[, x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right. \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the coefficients $a_{i j}$ are Lipschitz continuous in the variable $t$, then the problem (1.1) is well-posed in the Sobolev spaces $H^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=\bigcup_{s} H^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=$ $\bigcap_{s} H^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. The $C^{\infty}$ well-posedness follows by the existence of domains of dependence.

This may fail to be true either for a weakly hyperbolic equation, that is when $a(t, x, \xi)=0$ at some point $(t, x, \xi), \xi \neq 0$, even if $a_{i j} \in C^{\infty}$, or for a strictly hyperbolic equation with non-Lipschitz coefficients.

In the weakly hyperbolic case, the $C^{\infty}$ well-posedness holds for an effectively hyperbolic operator and it is stable under any perturbation of the lower-order terms $b\left(t, x, D_{x}\right), c(t, x)$. Otherwise, the first-order term $b(t, x, \xi)$ has to satisfy Levi
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