



Gas chromatographic determination of ethyl glucuronide in hair: Comparison between tandem mass spectrometry and single quadrupole mass spectrometry[☆]



Delphine Cappelle^{a,*}, Hugo Neels^{a,b}, Michel Yegles^c, Jeff Paulus^c, Alexander L.N. van Nuijs^a, Adrian Covaci^a, Cleo L. Crunelle^a

^a Toxicological University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, B2610 Antwerp, Belgium

^b Toxicology Laboratory, ZNA Stuivenberg Hospital, Lange Beeldekenstraat 267, B2060 Antwerp, Belgium

^c Laboratoire National de Santé, Service de Toxicologie, 1, Louis Rech, L3555 Dudelange, Luxembourg

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 23 December 2014

Keywords:

Ethyl glucuronide
Alcohol
Hair
GC–MS/MS
Negative ion chemical ionization
Validation

ABSTRACT

Ethyl glucuronide (EtG), a minor metabolite of ethanol, accumulates in hair and is currently used as a long-term marker for the detection of chronic and excessive alcohol consumption. Sensitive methods are required to differentiate teetotalers from moderate drinkers according to the established cut-off (i.e., 7 pg/mg hair). The aim of this study was to develop a sensitive method using gas chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (GC–MS/MS) operated in the negative ion chemical ionization (NICI) mode. The validated method was applied to hair samples from teetotalers, moderate and excessive alcohol consumers, and results were compared to a previously validated GC–NICI–MS method. The developed GC–NICI–MS/MS method showed linearity over a range from 2 to 400 pg/mg hair, with a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.05 pg/mg hair and a lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of 0.2 pg/mg hair, compared to an LOD of 0.5 pg/mg hair and LLOQ of 1.5 pg/mg hair obtained with GC–NICI–MS. Furthermore, lower background noise was observed using GC–NICI–MS/MS. Comparison of results of hair samples ($n = 58$) obtained by GC–NICI–MS and GC–NICI–MS/MS showed no significant difference between both methods (paired-sample t -test, $p > 0.05$; mean CV = 1.0%). The differences between both methods were larger for EtG concentrations < 30 mg/pg hair (mean CV = 1.7%) than for EtG concentrations > 30 mg/pg hair (mean CV = 0.7%). This suggests a higher selectivity of GC–NICI–MS/MS at lower concentrations. In conclusion, by using GC–NICI–MS/MS, a higher analytical selectivity and an improved signal to noise ratio, can be achieved. Although GC–NICI–MS would not change the interpretation of the EtG concentrations, the present GC–NICI–MS/MS method should preferentially be used for the determination of EtG in hair, especially when differentiating between teetotalers and moderate drinkers according to the current cut-off (i.e., 7 pg/mg hair).

© 2014 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The abuse of alcohol is a worldwide problem associated with negative effects on health and society [1]. The detection of alcohol through direct and indirect alcohol markers can be seen as a useful tool to detect harmful and/or chronic alcohol consumption. Clinical

and forensic applications for these alcohol markers are widespread: from the detection of alcohol dependence (e.g. in treatment settings and in forensic cases) to the monitoring of alcohol abstinence (e.g. during pregnancy, in child custody cases and in liver transplant procedures due to alcoholic liver failure).

Ethyl glucuronide (EtG) is a minor metabolite of ethanol that accumulates in hair and has proved to be a specific and sensitive long-term biomarker for the detection of chronic and excessive alcohol consumption [2]. The metabolization of ethanol to EtG represents approximately 0.05% of the total alcohol elimination [3]. Consequently, only small amounts of EtG accumulate in hair, providing EtG concentrations in the lower picogram range: >30 pg/mg hair in alcohol-dependent individuals [2,4], between 7 and 30 pg/mg hair for moderate alcohol consumers, and <7 pg/mg hair

[☆] This paper is part of the special issue entitled "Proceedings of the SoHT Bordeaux 2014 meeting", June 11–13, 2014, Bordeaux, France. Guest edited by Dr Pascal Kintz.

* Corresponding author at: Toxicological Center, University of Antwerp, Campus Drie Eiken, Room S5.53, Universiteitsplein 1, B2610 Antwerp, Belgium.
Tel.: +32 3 265 27 43; fax: +32 32652722.

E-mail address: delphine.cappelle@uantwerpen.be (D. Cappelle).

for teetotalers [5]. Sensitive analytical methods are thus required for the reliable determination of such low EtG concentrations. The current methods offer lower limits of quantification (LLOQs) varying between 2 and 5000 pg/mg depending on the method applied, with LLOQs generally higher (>10 pg/mg hair) for liquid chromatography (LC) methods compared to gas chromatography (GC) methods (<10 pg/mg hair; for a review see Crunelle et al. [2]). The use of negative ion chemical ionization (NICI) instead of electron impact (EI) improves the analytical sensitivity of GC methods, with LLOQs of 5000 [6] and 300 [7] pg/mg hair for EI compared to LLOQs of 6 [8] and 2.3 [9] pg/mg hair for NICI. Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) minimizes background interferences and allows multiple reaction-monitoring (MRM) and should thus result in higher sensitivity and selectivity. Indeed, GC–MS/MS methods operated in EI mode showed an improved sensitivity [10,11], but this increase could not be observed between GC–NICI–MS and GC–NICI–MS/MS methods [12,13].

In this article, we validated a GC–NICI–MS/MS method for the determination of EtG in hair after heptafluorobutyric anhydride (HFBA) derivatization. By analyzing hair samples from teetotalers, moderate, and excessive alcohol consumers, the applicability of the method should be demonstrated. In addition, the GC–NICI–MS/MS method was compared to a GC–NICI–MS method, in order to assess the possible advantages of MS/MS and MRM in the determination of EtG in hair from teetotalers, moderate and excessive alcohol consumers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

Hair samples together with self-reported data about alcohol consumption were obtained from 58 volunteers: teetotalers (no alcohol consumption; $n = 2$), moderate alcohol consumers (<60 g alcohol/day; $n = 20$) and excessive alcohol consumers (>60 g alcohol/day; $n = 36$). Samples were collected from the vertex posterior of the head, and the first 3 cm segment from the proximal end was used for further analysis.

2.2. Chemicals

Ethyl glucuronide (EtG) and the internal standard ethylglucuronide- D_5 (EtG- D_5) in methanol were purchased from Medichem (Stuttgart, Germany). Heptafluorobutyric anhydride (HFBA) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium). Methanol, ammonium hydroxide solution (25%), ethyl acetate, formic acid (98–100%), and acetone were supplied by Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). All chemical and reagents were of analytical purity grade.

2.3. Standard solutions

Stock solutions of EtG (1 mg/mL) and EtG- D_5 (0.2 mg/mL) were prepared in methanol. The working solutions were prepared in methanol by further dilution of the stock solutions. All solutions were stored at $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

2.4. Instrumentation

Oasis[®] MAX (60 mg, 3 mL) extraction cartridges were acquired from Waters (New Bedford, MA, USA). A ball mill of type MM2 (Retsch, Haan, Germany) was used for the pulverization of the hair samples. Extraction was performed with an ELMA TI-H-15 ultrasonication bath (Elma Hans Schmidbauer GmbH & Co. KG, Singen, Germany) and centrifugation with a Sigma centrifuge (Osterode am Harz, Germany). A Supelco Visiprep[™] SPE Vacuum

Manifold (Bellefonte, CA, USA) with 24 ports was employed to load the hair samples and to dry the cartridges. Solvent evaporation was achieved with a Pierce Reacti-Therm III Heating Module (Rockford, IL, USA).

Both GC–NICI–MS and GC–NICI–MS/MS analyses were carried out on a GC–MS/MS system consisting of a 7890A gas chromatograph, equipped with an automatic injector AS 7693 and coupled to a 7000C triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). Chromatographic separation was achieved on a HP-5 MS (5% phenyl methyl siloxane) column (length 30 m \times internal diameter 0.25 mm \times film thickness 0.25 μm).

2.5. Sample preparation

Hair samples were processed according to a previously described method [14]. Briefly, the samples were washed with water and acetone, dried, cut into 1–2 mm pieces, and pulverized in a ball mill. Then, 2 mL of water was added to approximately 30 mg of the pulverized samples, and the samples were ultrasonicated for 1.5 h. Next, the samples were spiked with 2 ng of EtG- D_5 as internal standard, vortexed, and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. Solid-phase extraction was then performed by transferring the supernatant to the cartridges, previously conditioned with 2 mL of methanol and 2 mL of water. The columns were washed with 1 mL of water/ammonia (5%) solution and 2 mL of methanol, and subsequently dried for 5 min. Elution was performed using 2 mL of 2% formic acid in methanol and the eluate was evaporated to dryness under a nitrogen gas stream at $37\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The residues were derivatized with HFBA (30 min, $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$), dried under a nitrogen gas stream, and then reconstituted in 50 μL of ethyl acetate. Finally, the extracts were transferred to vials and 1 μL was injected twice into the GC–MS/MS system (for GC–NICI–MS analysis and for GC–NICI–MS/MS analysis).

2.6. GC–MS/MS conditions

The injector temperature was set at $250\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The carrier gas was helium with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The oven was initially held at $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 min, heated to $170\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ at a rate of $10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ and then programmed at a final temperature of $300\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ at $40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. The detector was operated in the negative ion chemical ionization (NICI) mode and the detector temperature was $280\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The GC–NICI–MS analyses were done as published elsewhere [14]. For the GC–NICI–MS/MS analyses data acquisition was performed in the multiple reaction-monitoring (MRM) mode. The monitored ion transitions were $m/z\ 596 \rightarrow 213$ (quantifier) and $397 \rightarrow 213$ (qualifier) for EtG, and $m/z\ 601 \rightarrow 213$ for EtG- D_5 .

2.7. Validation

Validation of the analytical method was based on the international guidelines of the European Medicine Agency (EMA) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [15,16]. The following quality criteria were taken into account: recovery, linearity, accuracy, precision and sensitivity limits (LLOQ, LOD).

The extraction recovery was calculated as the percentage response in extracted samples relative to samples where the standard was added after extraction. A calibration curve, consisting of blank samples spiked at 7 different concentrations (2, 4, 10, 50, 100, 200, 400 pg/mg), was constructed to evaluate linearity. Accuracy and precision were assessed for replicated quality control (QC) samples at a concentration of 25 pg/mg. Intra-day precision and accuracy were assessed by analyzing 10 QC samples in the same analytical run. Inter-day precision and accuracy were calculated on QC samples analyzed in 6 different runs, on separate

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/95398>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/95398>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)