



## Critically evaluated termination rate coefficients for free-radical polymerization: Experimental methods

Christopher Barner-Kowollik<sup>a</sup>, Michael Buback<sup>b</sup>, Mark Egorov<sup>b</sup>, Takeshi Fukuda<sup>c</sup>,  
Atsushi Goto<sup>c</sup>, Oskar Friedrich Olaj<sup>d</sup>, Gregory T. Russell<sup>e,\*</sup>, Philipp Vana<sup>b</sup>,  
Bunichiro Yamada<sup>f</sup>, Per B. Zetterlund<sup>f,g</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Centre for Advanced Macromolecular Design, School of Chemical Engineering and Industrial Chemistry, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

<sup>b</sup>Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Göttingen, Tammannstraße 6, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan

<sup>d</sup>Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Wien, Währinger Straße 42, A-1090 Wien, Austria

<sup>e</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

<sup>f</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

<sup>g</sup>Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Rokkodai-cho 1-1, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

Received 28 September 2004; revised 21 February 2005; accepted 28 February 2005

### Abstract

The knowledge of accurate rate coefficients for individual steps of free-radical polymerization (FRP) is of scientific interest and of application-oriented importance. For a wide variety of homopolymerizations and for many copolymerizations, reliable propagation rate coefficients,  $k_p$ , are accessible via the IUPAC-recommended method of PLP-SEC (pulsed laser polymerization—size-exclusion chromatography). For termination rate coefficients,  $k_t$ , the situation is less favorable. Even for very common monomers, no  $k_t$  benchmark data sets are available. Moreover, instead of having one recommended technique for measuring  $k_t$ , there are a plethora of such methods. Seventeen of the most prominent approaches for measuring  $k_t$  are here reviewed, including innovative ones that have emerged over the last decade. The methods have been subdivided into two categories: (i) ‘Kinetic methods’, in which analysis of the time dependence of concentrations is essential, and (ii) ‘MWD methods’, in which the analysis of the molecular weight distribution plays the dominant role. The methods are evaluated with respect to their potential for providing routine access to measuring  $k_t$  as a function of monomer conversion and of free-radical chain length. Moreover, it has been considered whether expensive instrumentation or highly demanding analysis is required for a particular method and whether a method is applicable to many types of monomers. A table summarizes all these evaluations in a readily accessible form. The use of kinetic methods appears to be generally preferable over MWD-based methods. The largest potential is currently seen for methods in which

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: + 64 3 364 2458; fax: + 64 3 364 2110.

E-mail address: [greg.russell@canterbury.ac.nz](mailto:greg.russell@canterbury.ac.nz) (G.T. Russell).

polymerization is induced by a single laser pulse and where the subsequent time evolution of either monomer concentration or free-radical concentration is measured.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Free-radical polymerization; Kinetics; Termination rate coefficients; Pulsed-laser polymerization; Molecular weight distributions

## Contents

1. Introduction	606
2. Steady state methods based on kinetic measurements	607
2.1. Measurement of polymerization rate	607
2.2. Measurement of radical concentration by EPR spectroscopy	610
2.3. Use of living free-radical polymerization systems	611
3. Steady state methods based on molecular weight measurements	613
3.1. Fitting of molecular weight distributions	613
3.2. Mayo plots and the use of number-average degree of polymerization	614
3.3. Logarithmic plots of the number chain-length distribution	615
3.4. Photocopying of the living-radical distribution	616
4. Non-steady state methods based on kinetic measurements	616
4.1. Classical post-effect experiments	616
4.2. Single-pulse PLP	619
4.3. EPR spectroscopy	621
4.4. Rotating sector	622
4.5. Buback's multiple-pulse PLP method	625
4.6. Olaj's multiple-pulse PLP method	626
4.7. Time-resolved quenching	627
5. Non-steady state methods based on molecular weight measurements	628
5.1. Use of weight-average degree of polymerization	628
5.2. Low-frequency PLP	629
5.3. Modeling of the MWD from multiple-pulse PLP	631
6. Methods based on non-polymerizing systems	634
7. Recommendations	636
7.1. Kinetic methods	636
7.2. MWD-based methods	637
7.3. Overall recommendations	638
8. Conclusion	639
Acknowledgements	639
References	639

## 1. Introduction

Methods abound for measuring termination rate coefficients,  $k_t$ , in free-radical polymerization (FRP). Fifteen years ago, it would have been impossible to outline such methods, as will be done in this review, without also discussing the measurement of propagation rate coefficients,  $k_p$ : the two issues seemed to

be inextricably linked, in that essentially all methods yielded either  $k_p/k_t$  or  $k_p^2/k_t$  rather than either rate coefficient individually. However, this landscape has changed dramatically since it was pointed out in 1987 that  $k_p$  can be obtained in an essentially assumption-free manner from the chain-length distribution (CLD) resulting from pulsed-laser polymerization (PLP) in which pulses are periodically applied [1]. Although

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9562335>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/9562335>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)