Accepted Manuscript

Tournaments as a response to ambiguity aversion in incentive contracts

Christian Kellner

PII: S0022-0531(15)00143-X

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2015.08.002

Reference: YJETH 4467

To appear in: Journal of Economic Theory

Received date: 2 January 2014 Revised date: 30 June 2015 Accepted date: 2 August 2015



Please cite this article in press as: Kellner, C. Tournaments as a response to ambiguity aversion in incentive contracts. *J. Econ. Theory* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2015.08.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Tournaments as a Response to Ambiguity Aversion in Incentive Contracts ☆

Christian Kellner

University of Bonn, Adenauer Allee 24-42, 53113 Bonn

Abstract

We study a principal-agent problem with multiple identical agents, where the action-dependent stochastic relationship between actions and output is perceived to be ambiguous, and agents are ambiguity averse. We argue that ambiguity, and particularly ambiguity aversion, make it more attractive for the principal to choose a tournament. If agents are risk neutral, but ambiguity averse, we show that the set of optimal incentive schemes contains a tournament. Moreover, if ambiguity is rich enough, all optimal incentive schemes must be such that realized output levels affect only the distribution of wages across agents and not the total wages paid out, as it is true for tournaments. When agents are both risk averse and ambiguity averse, tournaments need not be optimal, but ambiguity and ambiguity aversion still favor, in many cases, the use of tournaments or tournament-like schemes over e.g. incentive schemes that only depend on each agent's own output level.

Keywords: Ambiguity aversion, Incentive contracts, Tournaments

JEL: D81, D86, M52

1. Introduction

In principal-agent problems with multiple agents, a variety of incentive contracts can be observed. Sometimes the compensation of the agents is solely based on their own performance. Often, however, the relative performance of an agent in comparison to the other agents also determines compensation. One example of this are tournaments, in which the payment awarded to an agent depends only on the rank of her output contribution. In the context of wages in firms it

Email address: christian.kellner@uni-bonn.de (Christian Kellner)

[☆]The author thanks Peter Klibanoff, Sandeep Baliga, Bard Harstad, Sujoy Mukerji, Matthias Lang, Sven Rady, Nicolas Roux, Marciano Siniscalchi and seminar participants at Northwestern University, the University of Bonn and the Institute for Advanced Studies in Vienna for valuable comments and discussions. This work extends a chapter of Kellner's Ph.D. dissertation submitted to Northwestern University in 2010.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/956855

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/956855

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>