

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

FOURNAL OF Economic Theory

Journal of Economic Theory 147 (2012) 389-392

www.elsevier.com/locate/jet

Notes

A note on Peters and Severinov, "Competition among sellers who offer auctions instead of prices" *

James Albrecht a,*, Pieter Gautier b, Susan Vroman a

^a Department of Economics, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057, United States ^b VU University Amsterdam, Netherlands

Received 12 October 2011; accepted 14 October 2011

Available online 9 November 2011

Abstract

We consider a market in which sellers compete for buyers by advertising reserve prices for second-price auctions. Applying the limit equilibrium concept developed in Peters and Severinov (1997) [1], we show that the competitive matching equilibrium is characterized by a reserve price of zero. This corrects a result in Peters and Severinov (1997) [1].

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

JEL classification: D44; D83

Keywords: Competing auctions; Directed search

In [1], Peters and Severinov (PS) consider a market with many buyers and many sellers of a homogeneous good. Sellers each hold one unit of the good and compete by advertising auctions; specifically, each seller posts a reserve price for a second-price auction for her good. Each buyer, after observing all posted reserve prices, chooses a seller and then competes in the seller's auction with any other buyers who have also chosen that seller. The main contribution of PS is to develop a limit equilibrium concept that can be applied to markets like these when there are infinitely

E-mail addresses: albrecht@georgetown.edu (J. Albrecht), p.a.gautier@vu.nl (P. Gautier), vromans@georgetown.edu (S. Vroman).

^{*} We thank Mike Peters for his generous comments on this note.

^{*} Corresponding author.

many buyers and sellers. Their equilibrium concept is the standard one in directed search models in which sellers compete by posting auctions.

PS consider two cases. In the first, they assume that each buyer learns his valuation for the good only after selecting a seller. In the second, buyers learn their valuations before choosing which seller to visit. The contribution of our note is to point out an error in PS's characterization of the "competitive matching equilibrium" for the first case. The error in PS is their claim (p. 156) that "Despite the fact that sellers compete in price in this problem, the reserve price does not fall to zero in equilibrium." We now show that this claim is incorrect.

Lemma 2 (p. 154) of PS shows that the competitive matching equilibrium for their first case is characterized by the (r^*, k^*) that solves

$$\max \Pi(r, k)$$
 subject to $V(r, k) = \beta$,

where r is the reserve price, k is the Poisson arrival rate of buyers, and β is the market level of buyer utility. The seller and buyer payoffs are $\Pi(\cdot)$ and $V(\cdot)$, respectively, with

$$\Pi(r,k) = k \int_{r}^{1} v(x)e^{-k(1-F(x))} f(x) dx,$$

$$V(r,k) = \int_{r}^{1} (1 - F(x))e^{-k(1-F(x))} dx,$$

where

$$v(x) = x - \frac{1 - F(x)}{f(x)}$$

is the "virtual valuation function." As PS note (p. 154), "it is straightforward to show that the solution to this maximization problem is unique."

We now show that $r^* = 0$ solves the above problem. The buyer arrival rate, k^* , is then determined by $V(0, k^*) = \beta$. The Lagrangean for the constrained maximization problem posed in Lemma 2 of PS is

$$L(r, k, \lambda) = \Pi(r, k) + \lambda (V(r, k) - \beta)$$

with first-order conditions

$$\frac{\partial L(\cdot)}{\partial r} = \Pi_r(r^*, k^*) + \lambda^* V_r(r^*, k^*) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\cdot)}{\partial k} = \Pi_k(r^*, k^*) + \lambda^* V_k(r^*, k^*) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\cdot)}{\partial \lambda} = V(r^*, k^*) - \beta = 0.$$

To show that these conditions hold when $r^* = 0$, note first that

$$\Pi_r(0, k^*) + \lambda^* V_r(0, k^*) = 0$$

implies

$$\lambda^* = k^*$$
.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/957191

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/957191

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>