

Activation of carbon dioxide on Fe-catalysts

Marita Niemelä*, Milja Nokkosmäki

VTT Processes, P.O. Box 1602, FI-02044 VTT, Finland

Available online 20 January 2005

Abstract

Development and evaluation of novel catalysts capable of activating CO₂ especially in CO₂ hydrogenation have been investigated. Several catalysts have been prepared, and characterized by CO₂ TPD. Their performance has been evaluated at 300 °C and 10 bar. All catalysts were active in CO₂ hydrogenation reaction with conversions of approximately 15–30% at 24 h time on stream. Potassium was found to enhance chain growth and to decrease the formation of methane. Ru promoter did not provide any benefit in activity or selectivity. Zr-promoted catalyst materials exhibited enhanced CO₂ adsorption and improved hydrocarbon yields.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Iron catalysts; CO₂; TPR; Adsorption; TPD; Hydrogenation

1. Introduction

The need for greenhouse gas emission reduction has increased the interest also in chemical fixation and utilization of carbon dioxide [1]. Yet, to be able to reduce CO₂ emissions, all operations must have zero CO₂ release. In addition, the anticipated utilization processes require use of catalytic materials, which activate CO₂ at low or moderate temperatures and convert it to useful products such as olefins, alcohols or hydrocarbons in high yield.

An on-going Finnish research project is considering catalytic means for the activation of CO₂. The catalysts have been evaluated in dry reforming [2], methanol synthesis [3] and FT synthesis reaction. Hydrogenation via Fischer–Tropsch synthesis using CO₂ + H₂ as the feed has recently been studied by Lee and coworkers [4–6], Ando et al. [7,8] and by the research groups of Schaub [1,9] and Davis and coworkers [10]. Utilization of gasification gas originating from biomass or waste materials for methanol or FT synthesis has also gained attention [11].

FT reaction utilizing CO₂ proceeds in two steps: first a reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction takes place (CO₂ + H₂ → CO + H₂O) and then the CO formed is

consumed in the Fischer–Tropsch reaction (CO + H₂ → products) [9]. The reaction can be used as a challenging and industrially relevant model system for understanding the activation of carbon dioxide on heterogeneous catalysts and its role in FT synthesis. Iron is typically used as the active metal on the catalyst, and K and Cu are important promoters.

In this work, the effect of Ru and Zr promoters on iron catalysed CO₂ hydrogenation were tested. Three different preparation methods were applied and the temperature-programmed catalyst characterisation results were compared to the CO₂ hydrogenation activity. A widely studied Fe–Cu–Al–K catalyst [12] was used as a reference catalyst.

2. Experimental

The reference catalyst, comprising Fe–Cu–Al–K was coprecipitated from Al, Fe and Cu nitrates at 80 °C at pH of 7.0 using ammonia (aq) [12]. The precipitate was washed with water and dried at 110 °C for 12 h. Potassium was added by aqueous impregnation of K₂CO₃ followed by drying at 110 °C for 12 h and calcination at 450 °C for 6 h. Fe–Cu–Al–K–Ru was prepared by aqueous impregnation of ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate on Fe–Cu–Al–K (ref). The catalyst was dried at 110 °C for 12 h and calcined at 450 °C for 6 h.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +358 9 456 5935; fax: +358 9 456 7026.
E-mail address: marita.niemela@vtt.fi (M. Niemelä).

The second set, Fe-Zn-Cu, was prepared by coprecipitating Fe, Zn and Cu nitrates at 80 °C at pH of 7.0 using ammonium hydrogen carbonate [13]. The precipitate was washed with water, dried at 110 °C and calcined at 350 °C for 1 h. Potassium promoter was added as explained above to obtain Fe-Zn-Cu-K(3) and Fe-Zn-Cu-K(6), where the number in brackets refers to the potassium content of the catalyst. The Ru promoted sample was prepared by coimpregnation of K_2CO_3 and $RuCl_3$ using equilibration of 6 h, drying overnight at 110 °C and calcination at 400 °C for 4 h. The Zr- and K-promoted sample was prepared by impregnating the Fe-Zn-Cu first with a zirconium propoxide solution [14] and then with K_2CO_3 as explained above.

The third set of catalysts was prepared by using the solvent replacement (SR) method [13]. Thus, Fe-Zn-Cu (SR) differed from the second set only in the washing step where the precipitate was washed with alcohol instead of water. The promoted Fe-Zn-Cu-K (SR) and Fe-Zn-Cu-Zr-K (SR) samples were prepared by impregnation as explained above.

The BET-surface areas and the pore volumes of the calcined catalysts were measured with Micromeritics TriStar equipment. The metal contents were determined by wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF).

The dynamic CO_2 chemisorption and desorption studies were carried out with a quartz microreactor connected to a Balzers quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS). Ground and sieved catalyst samples (0.3–0.4 mm) of 0.6 g were dried in He (3 °C/min, 200 °C 2 h) and reduced by 3% H_2 in Ar (3 °C/min, 400 °C overnight) in situ (TPR). The samples were flushed with helium at 400 °C for 0.5 h and cooled to 30 °C. The CO_2 adsorption capacity at 30 °C was evaluated with ten pulses (1 ml) of CO_2 using He as a carrier gas (50 ml/min). Then the temperature was raised from 30 to 400 °C (20 °C/min) under He flow of 100 ml/min (TPD). Calibration was performed by pulses of a known volume of CO_2 , CO or H_2 , and by calculating the corresponding area for the intensity response versus time. The measured mass intensity of $CO(28)$ was corrected in the presence of $CO_2(44)$ by subtracting the percentage of the 28-mass fragment of CO_2 .

The fixed bed reactor and the activity test method for the CO_2 hydrogenation reaction has been described in more detail in a previous publication [15]. In experimental runs, 1 g of catalyst was reduced in situ at 400 °C for 10 h. The temperature was decreased to 300 °C, the reactor was pressurized with hydrogen, and the reaction was commenced at 300 °C and 10 bar using a flow rate of 2 l/h of a gas mixture $CO_2:H_2:Ar$ in ratio 60:30:10.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Temperature-programmed reduction

The catalysts were evaluated by TPR (see Fig. 1). Hydrogen consumption occurs mainly at 150–400 °C and is

due to the simultaneous reduction of $CuO \rightarrow Cu$ (shoulder) and $Fe_2O_3 \rightarrow Fe_3O_4$. The reduction of iron catalysts by hydrogen is known to be a two or three-staged process [16–19]. That is, Fe_2O_3 is first reduced at 397 °C to Fe_3O_4 , which is then reduced to metallic iron at 702 °C [17]. The data in Fig. 1 shows that the maximum temperature of reduction increased with potassium and the initial temperature of reduction decreased with addition of ruthenium. Nevertheless, in all tested catalysts, iron oxides were reduced to Fe_3O_4 and probably partly also to oxygen-deficient Fe_3O_4 species during the TPR to 400 °C. According to Iglesia and coworkers [20] the initial removal of only a very small amount of lattice oxygen from Fe_2O_3 facilitates the formation of the active sites during the FTS reaction.

3.2. CO_2 adsorption and desorption

CO_2 adsorption at 30 °C and subsequent desorption were measured for all samples. Typically, adsorption took place during the first pulses and simultaneously the temperature of the catalyst bed increased by some degrees centigrade. The determined CO_2 uptakes are shown for all catalysts in Table 1. The presence of Ru decreased the amount of CO_2 adsorption, while potassium significantly increased the CO_2 adsorption capacity—a result in accordance with literature findings [21]. Highest CO_2 uptake was achieved with the reference catalyst, Fe-Cu-Al-K (ref), which also exhibited the highest BET-surface area of 110 m^2/g . It should also be noted that the adsorption uptake measured by Yan et al. [21] for a precipitated Fe-Cu-Al-K (100:6.6:15.7:6) catalyst was higher (443 $\mu mol/g_{cat}$) than ours (304 $\mu mol/g_{cat}$). This was due to the higher BET-surface area (165 m^2/g) of their catalyst.

For the Fe-Zn-Cu-K(3) and Fe-Zn-Cu-K (SR) catalysts the CO_2 adsorption capacities were significantly lower than for the Fe-Cu-Al-K catalyst, but so were also the surface areas. Accordingly, the CO_2 uptake/ m^2_{cat} was fairly similar for Fe-Cu-Al-K and Fe-Zn-Cu-K(3), and lower for Fe-Zn-Cu-K (SR), see Table 1. Previously Nam et al. [22] have suggested that addition of Zn to iron increases the amount of CO_2 chemisorbed on the catalyst. In our experiments, the CO_2 uptake/ m^2_{cat} was either slightly higher or lower in the presence of Zn.

Adsorption of CO_2 , which is an acidic gas, takes place on basic sites. With this view, a fairly recent paper has introduced a superbase material, a zirconia-supported potassium nitrate, with enhanced CO_2 uptake [23]. It has also been argued that activation of CO_2 takes place on the Zr atom [24]. The present results also indicated that addition of Zr clearly improved the adsorption capacity of CO_2 . That is, the zirconium and potassium promoted Fe-Zn-Cu-Zr-K (SR) exhibited a significantly higher uptake (150 $\mu mol/g_{cat}$) than did the potassium promoted Fe-Zn-Cu-K (SR) catalyst (58 $\mu mol/g_{cat}$). This data from our experiments and the results on the FT catalysts such as Fe/ZrO₂ and Fe/K/ZrO₂

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9610496>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/9610496>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)