



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Journal of International Money and Finance

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jimf](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jimf)



## Country-specific equity market characteristics and foreign equity portfolio allocation

Chandra Thapa<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sunil S. Poshakwale<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Accounting and Finance Division, Stirling School of Management, Room 4B113, Stirling, Stirlingshire FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK

<sup>b</sup>Centre for Research in Economics and Finance, Cranfield School of Management, England, MK43 0AL, UK

### A B S T R A C T

Do country-specific equity market characteristics explain variations in foreign equity portfolio allocation? We study this question using comprehensive foreign equity portfolio holdings data and different measures of country-specific equity market factors for 36 host countries. Employing panel data econometric estimations, our investigation shows that foreign investors prefer to invest more in larger and highly visible developed markets which are more liquid, exhibit a higher degree of market efficiency and have lower trading costs. The findings imply that by improving the preconditions necessary for well-functioning capital markets, policy-makers should be able to attract higher levels of foreign equity portfolio investments.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### JEL classification:

G11  
G14  
G15  
F3

#### Keywords:

Foreign equity portfolio allocations  
Stock market development  
Panel data models

## 1. Introduction

The global financial crisis and its consequences continue to preoccupy policymakers. Capital markets around the world have been volatile, and governments are facing the difficult task of financing the investment needs of their local economy. There is a vast body of literature on the positive role of finance in economic development (Goldsmith, 1969; McKinnon, 1973; Fry, 1988; Levine, 1992). Among the different forms of finance, equity financing is an important source, and the role of foreign investors in funding the requirements of domestic economies has never been more vital. Errunza (2001)

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 0 1786 467341; fax: +44 0 1786 467308.

E-mail addresses: [chandra.thapa@stir.ac.uk](mailto:chandra.thapa@stir.ac.uk) (C. Thapa), [sunil.poshakwale@cranfield.ac.uk](mailto:sunil.poshakwale@cranfield.ac.uk) (S.S. Poshakwale).

<sup>1</sup> Tel.: +44 0 1234 754404; fax: +44 0 1234 752554.

suggests that foreign equity portfolio investors have a significant positive impact on the development of local equity markets, which in turn should drive domestic economic development. Given the importance of foreign equity portfolio investment, it is imperative for policymakers to appreciate factors influencing the country allocation decision of foreign investors. This paper investigates whether the investment decisions of foreign investors are affected by the host country-specific equity market characteristics.

The benefits of international diversification of portfolio investment are well established (see Grubel, 1968; Levy and Sarnat, 1970; Solnik, 1974a; Errunza, 1977; 1994 among others). The International Capital Asset Pricing (ICAPM) model suggests that international investors should hold the world market as the benchmark portfolio because it provides the best mean-variance efficiency (Tesar and Werner, 1995; Solnik and McLeavey, 2004; Chan et al., 2005; Fidora et al., 2007). Studies also document the gradual removal of capital controls by developed countries beginning in the early 1980s (French and Poterba, 1991), and by developing countries by the late 1980s and early 1990s (Errunza, 2001; Bekaert et al., 2003). However, despite increased access to financial markets across the globe, an extensive number of investigations demonstrate the prevalence of home bias, i.e., the tendency to overweight home markets relative to the theoretical prescription of the ICAPM (see Cooper and Kaplanis, 1994; Tesar and Werner, 1995; Warnock, 2001; Chan et al., 2005; Fidora et al., 2007).

The investigations on home bias document a number of potential barriers impeding foreign investors from holding the world market portfolio. These barriers may be direct legal restrictions due to different legal status accorded to foreign and domestic investors (Bekaert, 1995), or indirect barriers arising from differences in available information and investor protection (Bekaert and Harvey, 1995; Errunza, 2001; Bekaert et al., 2003; Hunter, 2006). Similarly, market-specific risks, such as diversification opportunities, liquidity, transaction costs and level of host market efficiency, commonly known as stock market development factors, could also potentially impede foreign investment (Chan et al., 2005). However, to the best of our knowledge, no empirical study has specifically documented the role of country-specific equity market factors on country allocation decisions of foreign investors, except Chan et al. (2005), who use different predetermined variables, including stock market development factors, to explain the issue of home and foreign bias. The persistence of home bias indicates that, on aggregate, foreign investors allocate a relatively large fraction of their wealth to domestic assets. This suggests that if we are able to control for home bias, we should be able to explain the role of different country-specific equity market characteristics in explaining bilateral cross-country foreign equity country allocation.

Chan et al. (2005) note that a major factor limiting research on foreign equity portfolio investment is the lack of cross-border holdings data. We make use of the recently available IMF's Co-ordinated Portfolio Investment Survey (CPIS) foreign equity portfolio holding data.<sup>2</sup> Similarly, as Chan et al. (2005) state, most existing studies are from the perspective of U.S. investors, and they leave the question open of whether the explanations for a wide cross-section of other source countries are similar or not. Furthermore, a very small number of existing studies, which use multiple source and host countries in their sample, only investigate the investments from developed countries into other developed countries. Since the U.S. and other developed countries' equity markets exhibit higher levels of development relative to emerging markets, it remains to be tested whether the inclusion of the latter markets as host countries along with developed markets yields similar results.

Our study makes three important contributions to the literature. First, we try to explain the role of country-specific equity market characteristics in explaining the cross-sectional and temporal variation of foreign equity country allocation. Apart from Gelos and Wei (2005), who use emerging markets only and focus on transparency measures, and Chan et al. (2005), who explain foreign bias, no study has undertaken comprehensive empirical investigation modelling cross-country allocations. Second, as noted earlier, despite the theoretical suggestions of the ICAPM, global investors do not hold the world market as their benchmark portfolio. The ICAPM makes a number of assumptions, such as that global financial markets are perfectly integrated and fully efficient, investors incur no transaction costs,

---

<sup>2</sup> Fidora et al. (2007) use the same dataset using the average over the period of 2001–2003 and demonstrate the role of exchange rate risk in explaining bilateral home bias.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/963571>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/963571>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)