



Differentiation of lipsticks by Raman spectroscopy

Fatma Salahioğlu, Michael J. Went*

School of Physical Sciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NH, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 June 2012

Received in revised form 7 August 2012

Accepted 16 August 2012

Available online 7 September 2012

Keywords:

Raman spectroscopy

Lipsticks

Trace evidence

ABSTRACT

Dispersive Raman spectra have been obtained using a Raman microscope and an excitation wavelength of 632.8 nm from 69 lipsticks of various colours and from a range of manufacturers without any pre-treatment of the samples. 10% of the samples were too fluorescent to give Raman spectra. 22% of the samples gave spectra which were unique to the brand and colour within the collected sample set. The remaining 68% of the samples gave spectra which could be classified into seven distinct groups. Discrimination of red lipsticks by this technique was the most difficult. The spectra of deposited lipstick samples remained unchanged over a period of a least a year.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Identifying and establishing of the source of trace evidence in forensic science is an important task. There are many types of trace evidence that can be encountered by a forensic scientist at a crime scene, and one of these is cosmetic evidence such as lipstick smears. Lipsticks can easily be transferred and, like other forms of evidence, they can provide a link between a suspect and a victim, as well as between individuals and a crime scene. Lipsticks can be found as smears on a variety of surfaces such as glass, cigarette butts, garments, paper and miscellaneous crime scene surfaces. Identification of, and differentiation between, lipstick samples can make an important contribution to a forensic investigation.

Although the exact composition of lipsticks varies between manufacturers, the majority of lipsticks have similar compositions. They contain oils, waxes, pigments, antioxidants, preservatives and perfumes. Most of the matrix of a lipstick is composed of a mixture of emollients such as castor, vegetable, mineral and lanolin oils (typically 40–70%) and waxes such as beeswax or carnauba (typically 8–15%). Water-insoluble organic dyes (such as erythrosine, amaranth, rhodamine, tartrazine, dibromofluorescein, tetrabromo-fluorescein) and inorganic pigments (especially titanium dioxide and iron oxides) are used as colourants (typically 0.5–8%). Water-soluble dyes are “laked” (i.e. combined with metal oxides) to form insoluble precipitates which are then suspended in the oil base of the lipstick. These colourants are categorised by their colour index (CI) numbers (e.g. C.I.77491 for iron(III) oxide) [1–3].

Several methods for the forensic examination of lipsticks have been reported in which the sample masses are typically less than 1 mg. For example, one study considered 117 lipsticks and found good discrimination could be obtained by first visually comparing their colours [4]. If the samples were found to be indistinguishable, energy dispersive X-ray analysis was carried out. If no significant difference in elemental composition was observed, then the colour additives were examined by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). Finally if discrimination was still not observed the samples were analysed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). A further study involving over 300 lipstick samples found that TLC and gas chromatography were suitable for the analysis, characterisation and discrimination of small quantities of lipstick found in casework [5]. The combination of microspectrophotometry and scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive spectroscopy has also been found to be an effective combination for characterising colour and elemental composition [6]. Elemental analysis data can also be obtained from neutron activation analysis using γ -ray spectrometry [7]. Another successful combination of techniques is fluorescence observation and purge-and-trap gas chromatography [8].

These techniques have some disadvantages. Some involve human opinion (e.g. microscopy) and some are destructive involving extraction processes. Ideally the analysis should be performed non-destructively on trace amounts of samples with the minimum of sample preparation and the avoidance of contamination. Raman spectroscopy is an easy, rapid and non-destructive method which requires no sample preparation and can analyse samples contained in evidence bags [9]. The unique vibrational spectra of molecules results in a high degree of confidence in identification. Surprisingly little has been published concerning the Raman spectroscopy of lipsticks. Surface enhanced

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1227 823540.

E-mail address: m.j.went@kent.ac.uk (M.J. Went).

resonance Raman spectroscopy has been used for the in situ characterisation of chromophores in six red lipstick smears on glass and cotton surfaces [10,11] and dispersive Raman spectroscopy gave chemical fingerprints from four (damson, champagne, pink frost and mango) lipsticks [12].

2. Experimental

The experiments were carried out using a Jobin-Yvon 640 micro-Raman spectrometer utilising a Uniphase (model 1145) helium-neon laser operating at a wavelength of 632.8 nm. It incorporated a liquid-nitrogen cooled charge coupled device (CCD) detector. The laser had a fixed output of 35 mW and the laser power at the sample was 4 mW. A 100× objective lens was used giving a beam diameter of about 1 µm. The spectrometer was calibrated against the silicon line at 520.6 cm⁻¹. Each lipstick was smeared onto a glass microscope slide and five spectra were obtained from different parts of each sample to check for homogeneity. Depending on the quality of the spectra 40–100 accumulations of 0.5–4 s were used. The difference in these parameters only served to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the spectra and did not have any effect on the presence/absence and positions of peaks. Spectra were exported to Labspec version 5 for processing, analysis and presentation.

A total of 69 lipsticks from 10 different brands were analysed. These included Almay 36, Bourjois (Nos. 15, 16, 32, and 54), L'Oreal (Nos. 164, 243, 524, and 900), Revlon (Nos. 07, 46, 455, and 675), Elizabeth Arden 17, Max Factor (Nos. 30 and 210) and La Femme 29 which were purchased online at www.cosmetics4less.net; and Barry M (Nos. 52, 53, 54, 62, 101, 117, 113, 121, 140, 141, 144, 145, and 146), Prestige (CL93A, CL78A, PL28A, PL38A, and PL49A), Revlon (Nos. 004, 005, 006, 008, 009, 020, 025, 030, 035, 045, 075, 080, 090, 095, 103, 353, 359, 371, 430, 450, 663, and 750); and UNE lipsticks (Nos. L02, L05, L07, L09, S03, S05, S07, S08, S12, S15, S17, and S19) which were obtained from the local Boots store in Canterbury, Kent.

To identify the peaks of the lipstick spectra, some of the common ingredients used in the manufacture of lipsticks were also obtained and analysed. These included beeswax, castor oil, carnauba wax, and FD&C Yellow No. 6 Aluminium lake (Sunset Yellow) dye which were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich; and FD&C Yellow No. 5 Aluminium lake (tartrazine) dye and FD&C Blue No. 1 (eriolglaucine disodium salt) dye which were purchased from Acros Organics.

3. Results and discussion

The majority of lipsticks analysed in this study appeared heterogeneous under the microscope at 100× magnification power, so Raman spectra from five different positions of each sample were obtained to gauge the inhomogeneity. In all cases the peak positions were the same within ± 4 cm⁻¹, but there was variability in the relative intensities. Representative spectra are presented in the figures.

Seven samples were too fluorescent (denoted as Group F in Table 1) to obtain spectra from and hence 62 lipstick spectra were obtained, although most lipsticks produced spectra with a fluorescent baseline.

Fifteen lipsticks gave unique spectra each of which could be readily discriminated from the other 61 samples (denoted as Group U in Table 1) by visual inspection of the spectra. Fig. 1 compares the spectra of four of these lipsticks. The remaining 47 lipsticks gave Raman spectra that could be divided into seven distinct groups which could be distinguished from the other groups as shown in Table 1. Some groupings relate closely to the colour or brand of the lipstick (e.g. Group 7 contains exclusively brown lipsticks mainly by UNE), but other groups contain a range of colours (e.g. Group 3) or brands (e.g. Group 1).

Spectra of five pink lipsticks are shown in Fig. 2. Bourjois 15 and Revlon 025 have similar spectra in the region 200–1800 cm⁻¹ and are also similar to lipstick spectra previously reported using SERRS at 514.5 nm excitation [10]; but are clearly distinguishable from Barry M 52, Revlon 020 and Barry M 133, which are all distinguishable from each other. Spectra (c)–(e) also clearly show the presence of titanium oxide in the form of anatase. Fig. 3 compares the Raman spectra of two lipsticks with that of anatase.

Spectra of four orange lipsticks are displayed in Fig. 4. Clear differences can be seen between the three Barry M products, but Revlon 750 is very similar to Barry M 53 and cannot be

Table 1

Lipsticks grouped by their discrimination by raman spectroscopy.

Group	Brand	Prod #	Main colour	Shade/Comment
1	Almay	36	Red	
1	Barry M	145	Pink	Dark
1	Barry M	121	Red	
1	Bourjois	15	Pink	
1	Bourjois	16	Red	
1	Bourjois	54	Red	
1	Bourjois	32	Red	Dark
1	L'Oreal	900	Brown	Pink
1	L'Oreal	164	Red	Light
1	Prestige	CL93A	Pink	Very dark
1	Revlon	46	Brown	
1	Revlon	095	Red	Very dark
1	Revlon	009	Red	Matt, brown
1	Revlon	030	Pink	Very dark
1	Revlon	430	Pink	Dark, shimmery
1	Revlon	006	Red	Dark
1	Revlon	675	Red	
1	Revlon	090	Red	
1	Revlon	080	Red	Bright
1	Revlon	045	Brown	Dark pink
2	Barry M	146	Pink	
2	Revlon	005	Peach	Red
3	Barry M	53	Orange	Peach
3	Revlon	035	Brown	
3	Revlon	750	Red	Orange
3	Revlon	004	Pink	Dark, peach
3	Revlon	450	Pink	Shimmery
3	Revlon	025	Pink	
4	Revlon	371	Brown	Peach
5	Barry M	52	Pink	
5	Barry M	62	Pink	
6	Barry M	140	Pink	Shimmery
6	Barry M	113	Pink	Shimmery, light
6	Revlon	455	Pink	Shimmery
7	Revlon	353	Brown	Shimmery, light
7	UNE	L02	Brown	
7	UNE	L05	Brown	
7	UNE	L07	Brown	
7	UNE	L09	Brown	
7	UNE	S03	Brown	
7	UNE	S05	Brown	
7	UNE	S07	Brown	
7	UNE	S08	Brown	
7	UNE	S12	Brown	
7	UNE	S15	Brown	
7	UNE	S17	Brown	
7	UNE	S19	Brown	
F	Barry M	144	Pink	Very dark
F	Barry M	141	Burgundy	
F	Elizabeth Arden	17	Brown	Pink
F	L'Oreal	243	Brown	Light, pink
F	Max factor	30	Brown	Lipgloss, pink
F	Max factor	210	Brown	
F	Revlon	663	Violet	Very dark
U	Barry M	101	Flesh	
U	Barry M	54	Orange	Peach
U	Barry M	117	Orange	
U	L'Oreal	524	Brown	
U	La Femme	29	Brown	Pink
U	Prestige	CL78A	Brown	Light
U	Prestige	PL28A	Orange	Peach
U	Prestige	PL38A	Brown	Very light
U	Prestige	PL49A	Brown	Pink
U	Revlon	008	Brown	
U	Revlon	359	Brown	
U	Revlon	07	Brown	
U	Revlon	075	Peach	
U	Revlon	020	Pink	Shimmery
U	Revlon	103	Brown	Shimmery

F=fluorescent, U=gives unique spectrum.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/96358>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/96358>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)