Physica A 431 (2015) 52-62

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physica A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physa

Impacts of the vehicle's fuel consumption and exhaust emissions on the trip cost allowing late arrival under car-following model

Tie-Qiao Tang, Qiang Yu, Shi-Chun Yang*, Chuan Ding

School of Transportation Science and Engineering, Beijing Key Laboratory for Cooperative Vehicle Infrastructure Systems and Safety Control, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

HIGHLIGHTS

- Two trip costs for each commuter allowing late arrival are proposed.
- The effects of exhaust emissions on the trip cost I are explored.
- The effects of exhaust emissions on the trip cost II are explored.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 December 2014 Received in revised form 30 January 2015 Available online 5 March 2015

Keywords: Car-following model Trip cost Fuel cost Emission cost

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we apply car-following model to study the influences of the vehicle's fuel consumption and exhaust emissions on each commuter's trip cost allowing late arrival. Our results show that considering each commuter's fuel cost and emission cost only enhances his trip cost and the system's total cost, but does not influence on his optimal time headway at the origin under the minimum total cost. The results can help commuters to optimize their time headway at the origin.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 1969, Vickrey proposed the first bottleneck model [1], which was extended to explore each commuter's trip cost from different perspectives [2–10]. Although the bottleneck models can describe each commuter's trip cost from different perspectives, they cannot give the explicit relationship between each commuter's trip cost (particularly the trip cost considering the fuel consumption and exhaust emissions) and his departure time since they cannot obtain each commuter's instantaneous acceleration, position, and speed. To overcome this limitation, Tang et al. [11] used car-following model to study each commuter's trip cost allowing late arrival and found that each commuter's trip cost is related to his time headway at the origin, but they did not consider the influences of the vehicle's fuel consumption and exhaust emissions on each commuter's trip cost, so this model cannot completely describe each commuter's trip cost allowing late arrival. Thus, we in this paper extend the work [11] to study the effects of the vehicle's fuel consumption and exhaust emissions on each commuter's trip cost.

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* yangshichun@buaa.edu.cn (S.C. Yang).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.02.041 0378-4371/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







The related coefficients in Eq. (10).				
	Fuel	CO	НС	NOx
K ^e _{0.0}	-0.679439	0.887447	-0.728042	-1.067682
K ₀₁	0.135273	0.148841	0.012211	0.254363
K ^e _{0.2}	0.015946	0.030550	0.023371	0.008866
K ₀ ^e ,	-0.001189	-0.001348	-0.000093243	-0.000951
K ^e _{1.0}	0.029665	0.070994	0.024950	0.046423
K_{20}^{e}	-0.000276	-0.000786	-0.000205	-0.000173
K ^ē ,0	0.000001487	0.000004616	0.000001949	0.000000569
K ^e _{1,1}	0.004808	0.003870	0.010145	0.015482
K ^e _{1,2}	-0.000020535	0.000093228	-0.000103	-0.000131
K ^e _{1.3}	5.5409285E-8	-0.00000706	0.00000618	0.00000328
K ^e _{2,1}	0.000083329	-0.000926	-0.000549	0.002876
K ^e _{2,2}	0.00000937	0.000049181	0.000037592	-0.00005866
K _{2.3}	-2.479644E-8	-0.00000314	-0.00000213	0.00000024
K ^e _{3.1}	-0.000061321	0.000046144	-0.000113	-0.000321
K ^e , 2	0.00000304	-0.000001410	0.000003310	0.000001943
$\begin{array}{c} K_{0}^{e_{0,0}}, \\ K_{0}^{e_{0,0}}, \\ K_{0}^{e_{0,0}}, \\ K_{0}^{e_{0,0}}, \\ K_{0}^{e_{0,0}}, \\ K_{0}^{e_{0,0}}, \\ K_{0}^{e_{1,0}}, \\ K_{0}^$	-4.467234E-9	8.1724008E-9	-1.739372E-8	-1.257413E-8

 Table 1

 The related coefficients in Eq. (10).

2. Model formulation

First, we give the following basic assumptions:

- (i) The N commuters are homogeneous.
- (ii) Each commuter leaves the origin with a fixed time headway, i.e., $t_0 = t_{n,0} t_{n-1,0} = \text{constant}$, where $t_{n,0}$ is the *n*th commuter's departure time at the origin; the *n*th commuter's arrival time at the destination is t_n .
- (iii) When the *n*th commuter gets to the destination, he automatically leaves the road and his following vehicle becomes the leading vehicle.
- (iv) The road is a single-lane system whose length is L.
- (v) The proportion of the late arrival is η .

Based on the above assumptions, we can divide the *n*th commuter's motion behavior into the following three stages:

(a) The *n*th commuter does not enter the road when $t < t_{n,0}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} x_n(t) = 0\\ v_n(t) = 0\\ \frac{dv_n(t)}{dt} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1a)

where x_n , v_n are respectively the *n*th commuter's position and speed.

(b) When the *n*th commuter moves on the road according to the car-following equation, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv_n(t)}{dt} = \begin{cases} f(v_n, \Delta x_n), & \text{if } n = 1\\ f(v_n, \Delta x_n, \Delta v_n, \ldots), & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases} \\ v_n(t + \Delta t) = v_n(t) + a_n(t) \Delta t \\ x_n(t + \Delta t) = x_n(t) + v_n(t) \Delta t + 0.5a_n(t) (\Delta t)^2, \end{cases}$$
(1b)

where *f* is the acceleration function determined by the *n*th commuter's current traffic state, Δt is the time-step length, Δx_n , Δv_n are respectively the *n*th commuter's headway and relative speed. Eq. (1b) cannot give the *n*th commuter's headway and relative speed. Eq. (1b) cannot give the *n*th commuter's headway and relative speed. Eq. (1b) cannot give the *n*th commuter's headway and relative speed. Eq. (1b) cannot give the *n*th commuter's headway and relative speed.

exact arrival time at the destination, so we here define t_n as $\overline{t} + \Delta t$ when $x_n(\overline{t}) < L$ and $x_n(\overline{t} + \Delta t) \ge L$. (c) The *n*th commuter automatically leaves the road when $t > t_n$.

In this paper, we define two trip costs, where the trip cost I consists of the cost of the vehicle's fuel consumption, the travel cost, early arrival cost and late arrival cost, and the trip cost II consists of the tolling of the vehicle's exhaust emissions and the trip cost I. Applying the same method [10], the *n*th commuter's trip cost I and II can be defined as follows:

$$T_n^1 = \alpha \left(t_n - t_{n,0} \right) + \beta \max \left\{ t_{m^*} - t_n, 0 \right\} + \gamma \max \left\{ t_n - t_{m^*}, 0 \right\} + P_{\text{Fuel}} \cdot (\text{Fuel})_n,^1$$
(2a)

$$T_n^{\rm II} = T_n^{\rm I} + P_{\rm CO} \cdot ({\rm CO})_n + P_{\rm HC} \cdot ({\rm HC})_n + P_{\rm NO_X} \cdot ({\rm NO_X})_n,$$
(2b)

where T_n^I , T_n^{II} are respectively the *n*th commuter's trip cost I and I; m^* is the commuter who punctually reaches the destination; α is the per unit cost of travel time; β is the per unit cost of early arrival time; γ is the per unit cost of late arrival

¹ Note: before the *n*th commuter enters the road and after it leaves the road, the commuter has no travel cost, so Eq. (2) should only consider his travel cost during the second stage of Eq. (1).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/974323

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/974323

Daneshyari.com