#### Physica A 419 (2015) 698-706

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Physica A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physa

# Characterizing Detrended Fluctuation Analysis of multifractional Brownian motion

### V.A. Setty<sup>a,\*</sup>, A.S. Sharma<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA <sup>b</sup> Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

#### HIGHLIGHTS

• Our work characterizes a single exponent estimator like DFA when applied to mBm.

- DFA estimates a time averaged Hurst exponent in systems: this assertion is verified.
- We identify parameters that can impact the robustness of DFA.

• Results serve as benchmark for using DFA as sliding window Hurst exponent estimator.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 May 2014 Received in revised form 3 September 2014 Available online 25 October 2014

Keywords: Detrended Fluctuation Analysis Multifractional Brownian motion Estimated time average Hurst exponent

#### ABSTRACT

The Hurst exponent (*H*) is widely used to quantify long range dependence in time series data and is estimated using several well known techniques. Recognizing its ability to remove trends the Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (*DFA*) is used extensively to estimate a Hurst exponent in non-stationary data. Multifractional Brownian motion (*mBm*) broadly encompasses a set of models of non-stationary data exhibiting time varying Hurst exponents, H(t) as against a constant H. Recently, there has been a growing interest in time dependence of H(t) and sliding window techniques have been used to estimate a local time average of the exponent. This brought to fore the ability of *DFA* to estimate scaling exponents in systems with time varying H(t), such as *mBm*. This paper characterizes the performance of *DFA* on *mBm* data with linearly varying H(t) and further test the robustness of estimated time average with respect to data and technique related parameters. Our results serve as a bench-mark for using *DFA* as a sliding window estimator to obtain H(t) from time series data.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Statistical properties such as trends and correlations of complex phenomena are important in the study of nonequilibrium phenomena such as extreme events. Due to the non-equilibrium nature of complex driven systems, general statistical analysis tools cannot be readily applied to them. Long range dependence (*LRD*) in data is a key feature [1] and is studied in data from diverse physical systems such as temperature records, river flows, heart beat variability, and space weather, [2–11].

Rescaled range analysis (R/S) [12] and fluctuation analysis (FA) [13] are statistical tools developed to estimate the variability of time series through estimation of Hurst exponent, H [14], a statistic which is directly related to the scaling

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* svanurag@umd.edu (V.A. Setty).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2014.10.016 0378-4371/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







in autocorrelation functions, and, also to the fractal dimension of the time series data. While the scaling exponent, *H*, is equal to 0.5 for uncorrelated white noise, many natural systems demonstrate values close to 0.7 [15].

These techniques, however, fail to estimate *H* in non-stationary data. More recently, Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (*DFA*) [16], which is widely considered a better technique than either *R/S* or *FA* due to its capability to detrend a time series data while estimating *H*, making it viable for non-stationary systems. With increased use of *DFA* technique, its limitations in detrending capabilities are evident [17] and there is need for better alternative detrending schemes for data with atypical trends e.g., *trends that are not addressable by polynomial detrending* [18]. In spite of its purported shortcomings, *DFA* is recognized as an efficient Hurst exponent estimation technique because it utilizes detrending to estimate over lesser number of averages than *FA*.

Fractional Brownian motion (*fBm*), a generalization of Brownian motion, is a quintessential theoretical model for the Hurst effect [19]. Since its discovery, there has been an interest in modeling physical systems as *fBm*. However, it was quickly realized that imposing a uniform *H* over the span of the data is in fact a restricting condition as uniform level of *LRD* in real life data is uncommon. Multifractional Brownian motion (*mBm*) is a generalization of *fBm* relaxing this condition [20], allowing for variable degrees of self-similarity with non-stationary increments i.e., *H* varies as *H*(*t*) over the time span of the data. It should be realized that *mBm* is also multifractal in nature due to multiple fractal dimensions in the system within the time span of the data. Tunability of its local regularity is a valuable property of *mBm*, realizing which there has been increased interest in modeling various geophysical systems as *mBm* [21–23].

Although there is increasing use of DFA as a technique to study LRD in time series data, it is widely recognized that it yields a single Hurst exponent, and thus cannot distinguish between multi-fractal and mono-fractal systems, e.g., between mBm and fBm. In fact most systems exhibit time varying *H* exponent, but the estimates yield a constant value. Further, previous studies show the effect of data size used on the Hurst exponent [24,25], thus requiring caution in the interpretation of the estimated values. This is in direct agreement with our study of effect of data size on the Hurst exponent estimated by *DFA* in *mBm* data as seen in Section 4.2. Other schemes such as Multi Fractal Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (*MF-DFA*) were proposed [26], though such techniques address the multifractal nature of time series with respect to one fractal dimension at a time and do not provide a solution with respect to estimating the time varying fractal structure of *mBm*. It is apparent that *DFA* and other similar techniques were assumed to locally estimate a time averaged Hurst exponent [27,28]. This assumption underlies estimator techniques with sliding windows. We believe the success of estimating such a time average depends on the assumption of local linearity of the Hurst exponents, and is analyzed in detail in Section 3.

Using *mBm* data generated from linearly varying Hurst exponents, H(t), we show that *DFA* in fact estimates the time average of H(t) and test the dependence of estimated exponent on various data and technique related parameters. The primary motivation for our study is to establish a bench mark for the performance of *DFA* in estimating a time averaged Hurst exponents from *mBm* data, and, identify its limits.

Sections 2 and 3 introduce preliminary ideas of *LRD*, *fBm*, *mBm*, and, *DFA*, and, establish our estimation methodology. The main results of the study and conclusions follow in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

#### 2. Fractional/multifractional Brownian motion

Long Range Dependence (*LRD*), commonly identified as self-affinity, self-similarity, or long-range persistence, is a statistical property of time series data where the rate of decay of its autocovariance is slower than exponential, and most commonly a power law. This property is usually quantified using the Hurst exponent H (also known as the Hölder exponent), which is measured using R/S or the fluctuation analysis (*FA*) technique for stationary data. The Hurst exponent,  $H \in (0, 1)$  with increasing value implying increasing *LRD*, and 0.5 as the threshold where the correlations are completely absent.

Fractional Gaussian noise (*fGn*, which is stationary in nature) is proposed as a model for data with *LRD* and fractional Brownian motion (*fBm*) (its non-stationary counterpart) is its corresponding Wiener process generated using *fGn* as its incremental process. A continuous time fractional Brownian motion (*fBm*),  $B_H(t)$  with Hurst exponent H is a Gaussian process with zero-mean and is H-self affine i.e.,

$$B_H(\lambda t) \cong \lambda^H B_H(t), \quad \forall \ \lambda > 0.$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

Also, its covariance varies by definition as [29],

$$\operatorname{cov}[B_H(t_1), B_H(t_2)] = \frac{1}{2}(|t_1|^{2H} + |t_2|^{2H} - |t_1 - t_2|^{2H}).$$
(2)

Thus, *H* characterizes the relative smoothness of the resulting Brownian motions. It can also be seen that when H = 1/2 and  $t_1 > t_2$ ,  $cov[B_H(t_1), B_H(t_2)] = t_2$ , thus it is a Wiener process (Brownian motion). However when H > 1/2, then the increments are positively correlated and when H < 1/2, the increments are negatively correlated. This means that we have a smooth long-term correlated time series data for when H > 1/2 and anti-correlated data for when H < 1/2. The increments in a fBm is fractional Gaussian noise (*fGn*) as seen in Eq. (3), and is stationary in nature.

$$G_{H}(t) = B_{H}(t+1) - B_{H}(t).$$
(3)

A problem with fBm is that although they capture the self-similarities well, the pointwise irregularity given by the constant Hurst parameter, H, is invariant in time. This restricting condition can be over come by generalizing fBm to a

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/974562

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/974562

Daneshyari.com