



On invariant 2×2 β -ensembles of random matrices

Pierpaolo Vivo^{a,*}, Satya N. Majumdar^b

^a School of Information Systems, Computing & Mathematics, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

^b Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques (UMR 8626 du CNRS), Université Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 100, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 October 2007

Received in revised form 18 February 2008

Available online 10 March 2008

PACS:

02.50.-r

02.10.Yn

05.90.+m

Keywords:

Random matrix

Vandermonde

Correlations

Poisson–Wigner crossover

β -ensembles

Dyson index

ABSTRACT

We introduce and solve exactly a family of invariant 2×2 random matrices, depending on one parameter η , and we show that rotational invariance and real Dyson index β are not incompatible properties. The probability density for the entries contains a weight function and a multiple trace–trace interaction term, which corresponds to the representation of the Vandermonde-squared coupling on the basis of power sums. As a result, the effective Dyson index β_{eff} of the ensemble can take any real value in an interval. Two weight functions (Gaussian and non-Gaussian) are explored in detail and the connections with β -ensembles of Dumitriu–Edelman and the so-called Poisson–Wigner crossover for the level spacing are respectively highlighted. A curious spectral twinning between ensembles of different symmetry classes is unveiled: as a consequence, the identification between symmetry group (orthogonal, unitary or symplectic) and the exponent of the Vandermonde ($\beta = 1, 2, 4$) is shown to be potentially deceptive. The proposed technical tool more generically allows for designing actual matrix models which (i) are rotationally invariant; (ii) have a real Dyson index β_{eff} ; (iii) have a pre-assigned confining potential or alternatively level-spacing profile. The analytical results have been checked through numerical simulations with an excellent agreement. Eventually, we discuss possible generalizations and further directions of research.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ensembles of matrices with random elements have been widely studied since the pioneering works of Wigner [1] and Dyson [2] on the ‘threefold way’. A first, gross classification of random matrix (RM) models can take into account (i) whether the size N of the matrices in the ensemble is finite or the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ is taken and (ii) whether the probability distribution of the entries remains invariant after a rotation in the matrix space.

The requirement of rotational invariance implies that the joint probability density (jpd) of the eigenvalues can be written as:

$$P(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)} \prod_{j < k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k|^\beta \quad (1)$$

where $V(x)$ is a confining potential (x^2 for Gaussian ensembles) and the interaction term between eigenvalues is the well-known Vandermonde determinant raised to the power β . The Dyson index β can classically take *only* the values 1, 2, 4 according to the number of variables needed to specify a single entry (1 for real, 2 for complex and 4 for quaternion numbers). This β -index in turn identifies the symmetry group of the ensemble (Orthogonal, Unitary and Symplectic respectively).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: pierpaolo.vivo@brunel.ac.uk, p.vivo@libero.it (P. Vivo), satya.majumdar@u-psud.fr (S.N. Majumdar).

Thanks to the works of Mehta [3] and many others, very powerful analytical tools are available to deal with invariant ensembles, both for finite N and as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the latter limit being usually the most interesting for RM theorists. However, it was very soon realized that matrices with the smallest size $N = 2$ can equally well provide deep insights and trigger new ideas, the most successful one being the celebrated Wigner's surmise [3] which gives an excellent approximation for the level spacing of bigger matrices. The study of 2×2 random matrices has since been strongly developed and it remains an active area of research in mathematical physics [4–17].

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce and solve exactly a family of 2×2 random matrices depending on one parameter η . This ensemble will have rotational invariance *but* a real effective Dyson index β_{eff} in an interval. Although it is commonly assumed that the two properties:

- rotational invariance;
- real Dyson index.

are essentially incompatible, since the Dyson index of an invariant ensemble is strictly constrained to the values 1, 2 or 4 as described above, we will show how to construct explicitly a counterexample in Section 2 introducing suitable correlations among the matrix entries. The motivation for this study stems from two apparently unrelated issues, namely the Dumitriu–Edelman β -ensembles [18] and the so-called Poisson–Wigner crossover for the level spacing [19]. In order to make the paper self-contained, we give a brief introduction to both of them highlighting also the two main tasks we tackle in this paper. In Section 1.3, we provide the plan of the article.

1.1. β -ensembles of Dumitriu–Edelman

Consider the jpd (1). Does there exist a non-trivial matrix model having (1) as its jpd of eigenvalues for *any* $\beta > 0$? Very recently, Dumitriu and Edelman were able to answer this question affirmatively [18]. They introduced two ensembles of tridiagonal $N \times N$ matrices with independent entries, whose jpd of eigenvalues is exactly given by (1) for general $\beta > 0$ [18]. These ensembles have been called β -Hermite and β -Laguerre, according to the classical weight their jpd contains. This result is essential for an efficient numerical sampling of random matrices [20] and has triggered a significant amount of further research [21–25].

Note that the β -ensembles, having independent non-Gaussian entries are obviously *non-invariant*. Thus, the first novel task we tackle in this paper (Section 3) is the following:

Task 1. Design and solve exactly a (2×2) ensemble with:

- rotational invariance;
- running $\beta_{\text{eff}} \geq 0^1$;
- assigned classical potential (in particular, Gaussian-Hermite).

In fact, an invariant matrix model displaying a running Dyson index would be of great interest: tuning the strength of the correlations between the eigenvalues in (1) has significant importance for systems which, although endowed with an intrinsic invariance, are subjected to a weak non-invariant perturbation (see e.g. Ref. [26]) and may also have important implications for lattice gas theory [27]. Furthermore, it is a long-standing observation that nuclear systems with two-body interactions display an average density of states whose profile is much closer to a Gaussian distribution [28,29] than to a semicircle. Hence, a RM approach with the appropriate symmetries clearly requires much weaker, and possibly suppressed altogether, correlations among the energy levels than those arising from (1) with integer and fixed β . In this respect, the limit $\beta_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$ of our model is particularly appealing (see Section 3).

1.2. Poisson–Wigner crossover

Another interesting transitional regime in quantum chaos theory, namely the so-called Poisson–Wigner crossover for level spacings, has attracted much attention in the past twenty years [19]. In terms of the dimensionless nearest-neighbor spacing s , the Poisson and Wigner distributions are given by:

$$P_{\text{POI}}(s) = \exp(-s) \quad (2)$$

$$P_{\text{WIG}}(s) = \frac{\pi s}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi s^2}{4}\right) \quad (3)$$

and correspond to the limiting cases of classical dynamics, namely purely regular and completely chaotic. Intermediate regimes between those two extremes have been intensely investigated (see Ref. [30] for a review), and interpolating phenomenological formulas have been proposed, the most famous being the Brody [31] and Berry–Robnik [32] distributions. The quest for a deeper understanding of such a crossover has motivated many proposals of parametrical random matrix models whose level-spacing distribution interpolates between (2) and (3) [33–35,4–6]. Normally, the requirement of

¹ Comments on the case $\beta_{\text{eff}} \equiv 0$ are given in Section 3.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/976425>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/976425>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)