

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 536 (2005) 211-221

www.elsevier.com/locate/nima

Numerical analysis of the efficiency of multilayer-coated gratings using integral method

L.I. Goray^{a,b,*}

^aI.I.G., Inc., USA

^bInstitute for Analytical Instrumentation of Russian Academy of Sciences, Rizhsky pr. 26, Saint-Petersburg 190103, Russia

Received 29 June 2004; accepted 20 July 2004 Available online 17 August 2004

Abstract

An analysis is made of a rigorous and an approximate approach to the solution of the diffraction problem for a multilayer-coated X-ray grating by the integral equation formalism. Whereas a rigorous analysis involving the integral method requires a lot of computer resources, even for gratings with a small number of layers, the approximate approach based on a modification of the solution of the integral equation at the lower boundary with a finite conductivity is practically independent of the number of layers and is readily tractable with the use of a standard PC. The efficiencies of multilayer gratings measured at grazing angles with synchrotron soft X-ray radiation are compared with the values calculated using the integral approaches for ideal groove profiles.

 \odot 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 02.60.Nm; 07.05.Tp; 07.85.Fv; 42.40.Lx

Keywords: X-ray; Multilayer; Grating; Diffraction; Efficiency; Electromagnetism

1. Introduction

Multilayer-coated diffraction gratings offer the best promise for use in the short-wavelength range from hard X-ray to EUV, but modeling of their efficiency by rigorous methods meets with difficulties not encountered in calculation of bulk gratings [1]. The scalar theory of diffraction or application of the perfect conductivity approximation cannot predict exact results; especially, for grazing incidence angles, in the TM polarization, and in high orders. One may refer, for instance, to a rigorous calculation based on the differential method, but performed only for the ideal sawtooth profile with a small number of layers and only in the TE polarization [2]. The IESMP integral method is

^{*}Corresponding author. Institute for Analytical Instrumentation of Russian Academy of Sciences, Rizhsky pr. 26, Saint-Petersburg 190103, Russia. Tel.: +7-812-251-8600; fax: +7-812-251-7038.

E-mail address: lig@skylink.spb.ru (L.I. Goray).

^{0168-9002/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2004.07.173

capable of handling bulk gratings with real groove profiles measured by scanning probe microscopy or any other modern tool [3]. The integral method is also applied successfully to studies of the efficiencies of so called lamellar multilayer amplitude gratings (LAMGs), which are the kind of the Bragg optics [4].

The present paper reports on an integral method applied to multilayer-coated gratings and referred to in the literature as "modified" [5,6]. It was employed to advantage to carry out the first calculation of the accurate efficiency of X-ray gratings with near-normal incidence, either coated by several layers or multilayered, with any groove profile, including a realistic (AFM-measured) groove shape [7,8], and with due account taken of random roughness [9–11]. The modified integral method permits easy modeling the efficiency of Xray-range bulk real groove profile gratings operating at grazing incidence [12]. Application of the exact method requires, however, considerable computational resources, even in the case of gratings with a small number of layers. For instance, computation of one point for an X-ray grating with several tens of layers takes up many hours of work on a modern PC.

This stimulated the present author to develop in Section 2 along with the rigorous method an approximate approach for calculating the efficiency of grazing-incidence multilayer gratings, which is based on a modification of the solution to the integral equation at the lower finite-conductivity boundary and does not depend on the number of grating layers. A criterion of obtaining accurate data provided by the approximation to the integral method for multilayer X-ray grating efficiency calculations is proposed, and the conditions of its application are analyzed in Section 3. Next, in Section 4 the rigorously calculated and measured efficiencies of multilayer gratings with an ideal groove profile are compared with approximate data. Finally, the conclusion is given in Section 5.

2. A rigorous and an approximate approaches to calculate the efficiency of a multilayer grating

The coupled integral equations for a multilayer grating made up of *K* boundaries, starting with the

top one (first), can be cast using the second Green's identity and boundary conditions, similar to the way this is done for a grating with one interface separating two media [10]:

$$\begin{split} U_{1}(s_{1})/2 &= U^{i}/2 + \int_{s_{1}} G_{1}^{+}(s_{1},s'_{1})(c_{j} \,\mathrm{d}\, U_{1}(s'_{1})/\mathrm{d}n'_{1} \\ &\quad - \,\mathrm{d}\, U^{i}(s'_{1})/\mathrm{d}n'_{1}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{1} \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{1}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{1}^{+}(s_{1},s'_{1})/\mathrm{d}n'_{1})(U_{1}(s'_{1}) \\ &\quad - U^{i}(s'_{1})) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{1} \\ U_{k}(s_{k})/2 &= \int_{s_{k}} c_{j} G_{k}^{-}(s_{k},s'_{k})(\mathrm{d}\, U_{k}(s'_{k})/\mathrm{d}n'_{k}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{k}^{-}(s_{k},s'_{k})/\mathrm{d}n'_{k})U_{k}(s'_{k}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k} \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{k+1}} c_{j} G_{k+1}^{+}(s_{k},s'_{k+1}) \\ &\quad \times (\mathrm{d}\, U_{k+1}(s'_{k+1})/\mathrm{d}n'_{k+1}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k+1} \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{k+1}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{k+1}^{+}(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1})) \\ &\quad \times U_{k+1}(s'_{k+1}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k+1} \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{k+1}} c_{j} G_{k+1}^{+}(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1}) \\ &\quad \times (\mathrm{d}\, U_{k+1}(s'_{k+1}))/\mathrm{d}n'_{k+1}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k+1} \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{k+1}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{k+1}^{+}(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1})/\mathrm{d}n'_{k+1}) \\ &\quad \times U_{k+1}(s'_{k+1}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k+1} - \int_{s_{k}} c_{j} G_{k}^{-}(s_{k+1},s'_{k}) \\ &\quad \times (\mathrm{d}\, U_{k}(s'_{k})/\mathrm{d}n'_{k}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{k}^{-}(s_{k+1},s'_{k})/\mathrm{d}n'_{k}) U_{k}(s'_{k}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{k}, \\ U_{K}(s_{K})/2 = - \int_{s_{k}} c_{j} G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K}) \\ &\quad \times (\mathrm{d}\, U_{K}(s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})) \\ &\quad \times (\mathrm{d}\, U_{K}(s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) U_{K}(s'_{K}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) U_{K}(s'_{K}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) \mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) \mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) U_{K}(s'_{K}) \,\mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{s_{k}} (\mathrm{d}\, G_{K}^{-}(s_{K},s'_{K})/\mathrm{d}n'_{K}) \mathrm{d}s'_{K} \\ &\quad$$

where s_k is the curvilinear coordinate of the boundary S_k , U^i is the incident field, U_k is the *z*component of the electric or magnetic field at the *k*th boundary, G_k^{\pm} is Green's function for the region above (+) or below (-) the *k*th boundary, *n* is the vector of the surface normal (directed from "-" to "+"), $c_i = 1$ for the TE polarization and Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9845951

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/9845951

Daneshyari.com