Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





REVIEW OI

CrossMark

Review of Financial Economics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rfe

The predictability of aggregate returns on commodity futures

Fabian T. Lutzenberger *

FIM Research Center Finance & Information Management, University of Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany Institute of Materials Resource Management, University of Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 December 2013 Received in revised form 17 January 2014 Accepted 3 February 2014 Available online 8 February 2014

JEL classification: G12 G13 G17

Keywords: Asset pricing Commodities Predictability of returns Predictive regressions Forecasting

1. Introduction

Are asset returns predictable? The 2013 Nobel Prize recipients Eugene Fama, Lars Peter Hansen and Robert Shiller find that this question "is as central as it is old" (The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, 2013). While several studies examine whether excess returns on asset classes such as stocks, treasuries, bonds, foreign exchange, sovereign debt, and houses are predictable (Cochrane, 2011 and the articles cited therein), there is less (up-to-date) evidence for the predictability of commodity futures returns, despite the fact that "commodity futures have [by now] moved into the investment mainstream" (Basu & Miffre, 2013).

This paper attempts to fill this research gap to some extent by studying the predictability of aggregate returns on commodity futures, that is, we test whether the null of unpredictable commodity futures returns can be rejected and seek to identify variables that show predictive power. For this purpose, we do not empirically test one specific theory of commodity futures returns and its implications, as the theory of storage of Kaldor (1939) and others. Instead, we follow an empirical asset pricing approach. That is, by working backwards, we come from the empirical side and test a large set of potential predictors. Most of these candidate predictors are standard choices in studies of return predictability of other asset classes, especially that of stocks and bonds. In addition, we

ABSTRACT

This paper provides evidence that aggregate returns on commodity futures (without the returns on collateral) are predictable, both in-sample and out-of-sample, by various lagged variables from the stock market, bond market, macroeconomics, and the commodity market. Out of the 32 candidate predictors we consider, we find that investor sentiment is the best in-sample predictor of short-horizon returns, whereas the level and slope of the yield curve have much in-sample predictive power for long-horizon returns. We find that it is possible to forecast aggregate returns on commodity futures out-of-sample through several combination forecasts (the out-of-sample return forecasting R^2 is up to 1.65% at the monthly frequency).

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

propose some new factors, of which most are commodity-specific. The empirical facts we identify should be subject to further theoretical studies that seek to propose theoretical models that capture these given empirical patterns.¹

To be somewhat more specific, we conduct predictive regressions that use the future return on an equal-weighted portfolio of 27 commodity futures (without the return on collateral) as the response variable. The right-hand sides of these regressions comprise the current values of subsets of 32 potential predictors from the stock market, bond market, macroeconomics, and the commodity market. The main sample period is from January 1972 to June 2010, with a monthly sample frequency. Predictive regressions are the most common approach to forecast aggregate returns (Kelly & Pruitt, 2013). If returns are unpredictable, regression coefficients beyond a constant should be insignificant in such models, and these models should not provide forecasts of future returns that are more accurate than the historical average of past returns. We evaluate both the in-sample (IS) and the out-ofsample (OOS) predictability. For the IS analysis, we employ single long-horizon predictive regressions with horizons of 1, 3, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months ahead (Maio & Santa-Clara, 2012; among others) as well as a procedure that selects the "best" multiple-variable regression out of the variety of candidate predictors we consider, as is proposed by Bossaerts and Hillion (1999) and Zakamulin (2013). The

^{*} Tel.: +49 821 598 4884, +49 821 598 4801 (Secretariat); fax: +49 821 598 4899. *E-mail address:* fabian.lutzenberger@wiwi.uni-augsburg.de.

^{1058-3300/\$ -} see front matter © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rfe.2014.02.001

¹ Fama and French (2013) describe the research philosophy of empirical asset pricing.

Table 1

Potential predictors of commodity futures returns.

	•				
Variable	Description	Studies (among others)	Ргоху	Data source	Sample period
Panel A: stock market					
D/P	Dividend-price ratio	Stocks: Welch and Goyal (2008) and the	Log of S&P 500 dividend-price ratio	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
E/D	Earnings price ratio	articles cited therein; Rapach et al. (2010) Stocks: Welch and Coval (2008) and the	(Welch & Goyal, 2008)	Amit Coval	01/1072 06/2010
L/F	Earnings-price ratio	articles cited therein: Rapach et al. (2010)	(Welch & Goval, 2008)	Annit Goyai	01/1972-00/2010
D/E	Dividend-payout ratio	Stocks: Welch and Goyal (2008) and the	Log of S&P 500 dividend-earnings ratio	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
		articles cited therein; Rapach et al. (2010)	(Welch & Goyal, 2008)		
SVAR	Stock variance	Stocks: Guo (2006); Welch and Goyal	Sum of squared daily S&P 500 returns	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
B/M	Book-to-market ratio	(2008); Rapach et al. (2010) Stocks: Welch and Goval (2008) and the	(Weich & Goyal, 2008) Dow Jones Industrial Average book-	Amit Goval	01/1972-06/2010
2,		articles cited therein; Rapach et al. (2010)	market ratio (Welch & Goyal, 2008)	i iiiit dogai	01/10/2 00/2010
CSP	Polk, Thompson, and Vuolteenaho's	Stocks: Polk et al. (2006); Welch and Goyal	-	Amit Goyal	01/1972-12/2002
CDMDE	(2006) cross-sectional premium	(2008)	Fire was an and lating over of Forme	Kannath D. Franch	01/1072 00/2010
CRIVIRF	Cumulative equity premium	_	and French's (1993) U.S. market	Kenneur K, French	01/1972-00/2010
			excess return		
CL	Stock liquidity	Stocks: Maio and Santa-Clara (2012)	Five-year cumulative sum of Pástor	Lubos Pástor	01/1972-06/2010
			and Stambaugh's (2003) non-traded		
SENT	Investor sentiment	Baker and Wurgler (2006-2007)	Stock market sentiment index of	Jeffrey Wurgler	01/1972-06/2010
SEITI	investor sentiment	Succe and Wargier (2000, 2007)	Baker and Wurgler (2006, 2007)	Jenney Wurgher	01/13/2 00/2010
Danal P: hand market					
TBL	Treasury bills	Stocks: Welch and Goval (2008) and	Three-month U.S. Treasury bill rate	Amit Goval	01/1972-06/2010
IDL	freusury bins	the articles cited therein; Rapach et al.	(secondary market) (Welch & Goyal,	rinne Göyü	01/13/2 00/2010
		(2010); Zakamulin (2013)	2008)		
LTY	Long-term yield	Stocks: Welch and Goyal (2008);	Yield on long-term U.S. government	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
I TR	Long-term return	Rapach et al. (2010) Stocks: Welch and Coval (2008):	bonds (Weich & Goyal, 2008) Return on long-term U.S. government	Amit Coval	01/1972_06/2010
LIK		Rapach et al. (2010)	bonds (Welch & Goyal, 2008)	Annie Goyai	01/13/2 00/2010
TMS	Term spread	Stocks: Welch and Goyal (2008) and	Long-term yield minus U.S. Treasury	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
		the articles cited therein; Rapach et al.	bill rate (Welch & Goyal, 2008)		
DEV	Default yield spread	(2010); Zakamulin (2013) Stocks: Welch and Coval (2008) and	Vield on BAA-minus vield on AAA-rated	Amit Coval	01/1072_06/2010
DIT	Delault yield spread	the articles cited therein: Rapach et al.	corporate bonds (Welch & Goval, 2008)	Annit Goyai	01/1572-00/2010
		(2010); Zakamulin (2013)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
DFR	Default return spread	Stocks: Welch and Goyal (2008) and	Return on long-term corporate bonds	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
		the articles cited therein; Rapach et al.	minus return on long-term U.S. govern-		
СР	Cochrane and Piazzesi's (2005)	Bonds: Cochrane and Piazzesi (2005):	-	John H. Cochrane	01/1972-12/2003
	factor	Stocks: Maio and Santa-Clara (2012)		J	- , - ,
Panel C: macroeconomics					
INFL	Inflation	Stocks: Welch and Goyal (2008) and	U.S. CPI inflation (all urban consumers)	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
		the articles cited therein; Rapach et al.	lagged by one month (Welch & Goyal,	-	
1.112	Continuents (1001) incontractor	(2010); Zakamulin (2013)	2008)	A mit Court	01/1072 00/2010
1/K	to-capital ratio	(2008): Rapach et al. (2010)	(The quarterly data are linearly interpolated to obtain monthly data)	Amit Goyai	01/1972-06/2010
CAY	Lettau and Ludvigson's (2001)	Stocks: Lettau and Ludvigson (2001);	(The quarterly data are linearly	Amit Goyal	01/1972-06/2010
	consumption, wealth, income ratio	Welch and Goyal (2008)	interpolated to obtain monthly data.)	·	
IP	Industrial production	Commodities (volatility): Prokopczuk	Five-year log growth of U.S. industrial	Federal Reserve	01/1972-06/2010
M2	M2 money stock	and Symeonidis (2013)	production Three-year log growth of the U.S. M2	Bank of St. Louis	01/1072_06/2010
1112	W2 money stock	and Symeonidis (2013)	money stock	Bank of St. Louis	01/1372 00/2010
GDP	GDP	Stocks: Rangvid (2006)	Three-year log growth of U.S. GDP.	Federal Reserve	01/1972-06/2010
			(The quarterly data are linearly	Bank of St. Louis	
USD	Return on U.S. dollar	Commodities (volatility): Prokonczuk	interpolated to obtain monthly data.)	Federal Recenve	01/1078_06/2010
03D	Return on 0.3. donar	and Symeonidis (2013)	weighted U.S. dollar index against	Bank of St. Louis	01/1978-00/2010
			major currencies		
CVAL	Asness et al.'s (2013) value	-	Five-year log excess return on Asness	Tobias J. Moskowitz	12/1976-06/2010
CMOM	"everywhere" factor		et al.'s (2013) value "everywhere" factor	Tobias I. Moskowitz	12/1076 06/2010
CIVIOIVI	"everywhere" factor	-	et al 's (2013) momentum "everywhere"	TODIAS J. WIOSKOWILZ	12/1970-00/2010
			factor		
Panel D: commodity market					
CVAR	Commodity variance	_	Sum of squared daily CRB BLS spot	Datastream	01/1972-06/2010
enne			index returns	Dutublicum	01/10/2 00/2010
CCM_spot	Commodity spot return	-	Five-year log return on CRB BLS	Datastream	01/1972-06/2010
CCM	Commo dito fatore antenno		spot index	Tables I Masters '	12/1070 00/2010
CCM	Commonity rutures return	-	rive-year log return on Asness et al.'s	i odias J. Moskowitz	12/19/6-06/2010
C12CM spot	Commodity spot momentum	_	12-month log return on CRB BLS spot	Datastream	01/1972-06/2010
- 1	~ .		index (most recent month's return is		
			skipped)		

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/985877

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/985877

Daneshyari.com