

available at www.sciencedirect.com



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jval



Estimation and Comparison of EQ-5D Health States' Utility Weights for Pneumoccocal and Human Papillomavirus Diseases in Argentina, Chile, and the United Kingdom

Julieta Galante, MD, Msc(c)¹, Federico Augustovski, MD, Msc, PhD^{1,2,*}, Lisandro Colantonio, MD, Msc(c)¹, Ariel Bardach, MD, Msc¹, Joaquin Caporale, Msc¹, Sebastian Garcia Marti, MD, Msc(c)¹, Paul Kind, Mphil³

¹Institute of Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina; ²Family and Community Medicine Division, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina; ³Centre for Health Economics, University of York, York, UK

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To estimate and compare EuroQol instrument (EQ-5D) health states' values for pneumoccocal and human papillomavirus (HPV) diseases in Argentina, Chile, and the United Kingdom. Methods: Twelve vignettes were designed, pilot-tested, and administered to a convenience sample in a cross-sectional design to elicit descriptive EQ-5D state data. Country-specific EQ-5D time-trade-off-based weights were used to map these descriptive health states into local country preference weights. Descriptive analysis is reported and intercountry differences for each condition were compared using repeated measures analysis of variance. Results: Seventy-three subjects completed the survey. Pneumococcal disease-related health states mean values ranged from -0.331 (sepsis, Chile) to 0.727 (auditive sequelae, Argentina). HPVrelated conditions ranged from 0.152 (cervical cancer, United Kingdom) to 0.848 (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 1, Argentina). Chile had consistently the lowest mean values in pneumococcal states and in one HPV state, whereas those of the United Kingdom were the lowest in most HPV

states. Argentina had the highest mean values in both diseases. Differences in country-specific values for each health state were statistically (P < 0.001) significant except for six health states in which differences between Chilean and United Kingdom weights were nonsignificant. **Conclusions:** Utility values for most conditions differed statistically relevantly among analyzed countries, even though the same health states in descriptive set was valued for each. These results reflect the difference is social weights among different countries, which could be attributed to either different population values or valuation study methodologies. They stress the importance of using local preference weights for context-specific decision making.

Keywords: Great Britain, Latin America, quality-adjusted life years, questionnaires.

Copyright © 2011, International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR). Published by Elsevier Inc.

Introduction

The measurement of health benefits is a critical activity associated with all aspects of the planning and delivery of health care, but the choice of unit of measure is not uniformly acknowledged. To help to guide health care-wide resource allocation decisions, it needs to be based on a generic system so that gains/losses can be compared across the widest possible range of interventions [1].

Quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) are a unit of measure which is made up of the product of quality of life and quantity of life. A QALY refers to 1 year of life in complete health. Health status, or quality of life, is measured on a scale in which full health has a value of 1.0 and dead has a value of zero [2–5]. QALYs led to much applied work based on cost-utility analysis, and approaches to prioritization based on incremental cost-per-QALY figures, both in upper- and lower-and-middle income countries [6].

QALY weights are computed either by directly eliciting subjects preferences through direct methods (standard gamble, time-trade off, visual analog scale) or through a two-step approach: The first one involves classifying the health status with a preference-based, generic health-related quality of life measurement instrument; and the second is to translate this health state to the value that the general reference population have assigned to it in a previous valuation study. The EuroQol instrument (EQ-5D) is probably the most widely used standardized instrument for use as a measure of health outcome in economic evaluations [7]. Its descriptive system classifies a health state by a three-level Likert-type scale on five dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. The scales range from the best level (no limitations = 1) to the worst level (severe limitations = 3) and thus describe health states in a five-digit number. In addition, it has a visual analog scale item where the health state is valued in a single 0 to 100 scale.

Conflicts of interest: The authors have indicated that they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the content of this article.

^{*} Address correspondence to: Federico Augustovski, Director of the Economic Evaluations and HTA Department, Institute of Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy, Ravignani 2024 (C1414CPV), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

E-mail: faugustovski@iecs.org.ar.

It has been shown that self-reported EQ-5D descriptive health status differ considerably between countries before weighting them through quality-of-life weighting methodologies [8]. One concern relates to the reported differences of preference weights for the same states from different countries [9–19] Preference/utilities and preference weights studies are really scarce in the Latin American region, even though they are essential for locally relevant decision making. Local utilities are not generally used in our region, and this could be due not only to the fact that these values are not widely available, but also that they may seem to be transferable from utility weights from developed countries [20].

The objectives of our study were to estimate and compare EQ-5D health states' preference values for pneumococcal and human papillomavirus (HPV) diseases in three different countries (Argentina, Chile, and the United Kingdom), using the same health states' descriptive mix in the three countries. This work was part of a larger project in which we needed to obtain utility values for the selected health states as inputs for two vaccination cost-effectiveness models to be applied in different countries. Although this study was a substudy of a larger project, and due to the scarce research in this area in Latin America, we think that it makes a relevant regional contribution. Moreover, the conditions reported highly contribute to the burden of disease in Latin America [21,22]. This was the rationale behind the selection of the health states.

Methods

Descriptive data regarding the different disease-related EQ-5D health states

To obtain descriptive data regarding the different health states defined in the economic models, 12 health state vignettes (eight for pneumococcal diseases and four for HPV diseases) were designed, pilot-tested, and administered to a convenience sample of subjects in Argentina. The survey was confidential and anonymous. After describing each of the health states with a half-page vignette, they proceeded to complete one EQ-5D questionnaire for each. To evaluate and control sequence or order effects, three sets of questionnaires in which the health states were ordered in different ways were used and randomly administered to each third of the sample. Both as a primer and for use as descriptive data, the first health state for which it was asked to complete the EQ-5D questionnaire was, in all cases, their health status the day of the survey. Finally, some demographic descriptive data of the respondents was gathered.

Analysis of questionnaire data and deriving of local preference weights

The description of each health state consisted of an EQ-5D five-digit number, as described above. On the other hand, time trade-off-derived local weights for each of these health states for Argentinean [9], Chilean (Víctor Zárate, University of York, personal communication), and English populations [23] were available. By pairing the five-digit number of each health state with its correspondent local weight, utility values for the 12 health states could be obtained for each and all respondents.

An initial descriptive analysis of the sample is presented, as is a descriptive report of the health states values for each country. To illustrate similarities and differences in country-specific values, the relationship between the Argentine, the Chilean, and the English values was graphically shown and assessed by analysis of variance repeated measures test. We examined the statistical significance of the differences in country-specific values for each health state. Furthermore, for the cases where a significant analysis of variance result was found, showing that

not all countries were similar, we run paired t tests with a Bonferroni-corrected alpha for multiple comparisons [24]. All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata/SE 8.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX).

Results

Between July and August 2009, 73 subjects completed the survey. Study sample characteristics are shown in Table 1 (available in Supplemental Materials found at: doi:10.1016/j.jval.2011.05.007). Fifty-three percent of the respondents were women. Mean age was 31 years (range 22–58) and mean self-reported health status measured by EQ-5D's visual analog scale was 86 out of 100.

Utility values for the health states of the HPV vaccination model, obtained by pairing the five-digit number of each health state with its correspondent local weight, are shown in Table 2 and in Figure 1 (available in Supplemental Material found at: doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2011.05.007); whereas utility values for the health states of the pneumococcal vaccination model, obtained in the same way, are shown in Table 3, Figure 2, and Figure 3 (available in Supplemental Material found at: doi:10.1016/j.jval.2011.05.007). Because all values had an asymmetric distribution, we present both mean/confidence interval and median/interquartile range. In addition, in Table 4 (available in Supplemental Material found at: doi:10.1016/j.jval.2011.05.007) we show the visual analog scale summary values of each of the health states. For pneumococcal disease-related health states, means utility values ranged from -0.331 (sepsis, Chile) to 0.727 (auditive sequelae, Argentina). Regarding HPV-related conditions, they ranged from 0.152 (cervical cancer, United Kingdom) to 0.848 (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 1, Argentina). Chile had consistently the lowest coefficients in pneumococcal states and in one HPV state, whereas those of the United Kingdom were the lowest in most HPV states. Argentina had the highest coefficients in both disease groups. Mean differences between countries in pneumococcal health states were 0.256 (Argentina-Chile), 0.207 (Argentina-UK), and 0.048 (Chile-UK); and those for HPV were 0.117 (Argentina-Chile), 0.133 (Argentina-UK), and 0.017 (Chile-UK).

We found that the differences in country-specific values for each health state were statistically significant, and many of them of an important magnitude, except for six health states (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 1, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 and 3, cured cancer, meningitis, acute otitis media, and acute otitis media with myringotomy) in which differences between Chilean and English weights were nonsignificant. Argentinean weights resulted significantly different and higher for all the conditions.

Discussion

Although it is not uncommon to assume that utility values to be used in economic evaluations are usually transferable from place to place, and many studies use for QALY calculations weights from other settings, there is growing evidence that utilities can be significantly and sometimes meaningfully different between settings [9-19]. In our study we found that utility coefficients for each condition differed significantly between the three analyzed countries even considering that the same health states' mix was valued in all three countries. This is why, even though our sample was a convenience sample, the fact that a health state can be descriptively different between countries (i.e., a typical pneumonia could be more severe), this could not account for the differences among countries' utility values. Our study is a practical exercise that shows that in a real-life scenario and using the same set of health states for each disease state, the difference in country valuations introduce significant differences in results. This stress the importance of using local and not international weights in context-spe-

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/988625

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/988625

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>