Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg **30**, 604–609 (2005)
doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2005.06.023, available online at http://www.sciencedirect.com on SCIENCE DIRECT®

# Outcome After Occlusion of Infrainguinal Bypasses in the Dutch BOA Study: Comparison of Amputation Rate in Venous and Prosthetic Grafts

**L. Smeets,[1]* G.H. Ho,[2] M.J.D. Tangelder,[3] A. Algra,[4,5] J.A. Lawson,[6] B.C. Eikelboom[7] and F.L. Moll[7] on behalf of the Dutch BOA Study Group**

*Departments of [1]Surgery, Twenteborg Hospital, Almelo, [2]Vascular Surgery, Amphia Hospital, Breda, The Netherlands; [3]Clinical Science, AstraZeneca, Mölndal, Sweden; [4]Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, Departments of [5]Neurology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, [6]Surgery, Hospital Amstelveen, Amstelveen, and [7]Vascular Surgery, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands*

***Objective***. *To compare the consequences of occlusion of infrainguinal venous and prosthetic grafts.*
***Methods***. *In total, 2690 patients were included in the Dutch BOA study, a multicenter randomised trial that compared the effectiveness of oral anticoagulants with aspirin in the prevention of infrainguinal bypass graft occlusion. Two thousand four hundred and four patients received a femoropopliteal or femorodistal bypass with a venous (64%) or prosthetic (36%) graft. The incidence of occlusion and amputation was calculated according to graft material and the incidence of amputation after occlusion was compared with Cox regression to adjust for differences in prognostic factors.*
***Results***. *The indication for operation was claudication in 51%, rest pain in 20% and tissue loss in 28% of patients. The mean follow up was 21 months.*
*After venous bypass grafting 171 (15%) femoropopliteal and 96 (24%) femorodistal grafts occluded. After prosthetic bypass grafting 234 (30%) femoropopliteal and 25 (38%) femorodistal grafts occluded. Patients with occlusions in the venous group had more severe ischemia, less runoff vessels and were older than the patients with prosthetic grafts. In the venous occlusion group 54 (20%) amputations were performed compared to 42 (16%) in the prosthetic occlusion group; crude hazard ratio 1.17 (95% CI 0.78–1.75). After adjustment for above mentioned differences in patient characteristics the hazard ratio was 0.86 (95% CI 0.56–1.32).*
***Conclusion***. *The need for amputation after occlusion is not influenced by graft material in infrainguinal bypass surgery.*

*Keywords: Peripheral vascular disease; Vascular surgical procedures; Vascular graft occlusion; Amputation; Femoral artery; Popliteal artery; Tibial arteries; Intermittent claudication; Ischemia; Gangrene; Saphenous vein; Blood vessel prosthesis; Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE); Polyethylene terephtalate (Dacron).*

## Introduction

In the assessment of bypass graft surgery the main outcome is often patency rate. An emerging focus is functional outcome such as adequate relief of symptoms, including relief of pain, healing of ischemic lesions, return to unrestricted ambulation, maintenance of independent living, and general level of patient satisfaction or quality of life. Most of these parameters are heavily influenced by a major amputation, mainly due to restricted mobility. Only 12–13% of patients who had a limb amputation will walk with an artificial leg.[1] Five years after a below knee amputation, 50% will be dead, 30% will have had a major contralateral amputation, and only 20% will be alive with one intact leg.[1] The rate of amputation after bypass surgery is influenced by the indication for operation, with a worse outcome for critical limb ischemia (CLI) than for intermittent claudication (IC). For CLI a more aggressive approach in revascularisation over the years has resulted in a decreasing amputation rate. IC is regarded only a relative indication for surgical treatment and then only after an adequate trial of conservative therapy.

The patency of infrainguinal saphenous vein grafts is better than that for prosthetic grafts.[2–5] However,

Presented at the 18th annual meeting of the European Society for Vascular Surgery, 17–19 September 2004.
*Corresponding author. Luuk Smeets, MD, Surgical Resident, Department of Surgery, Twenteborg Hospital, Zilvermeeuw 1, 7609 PP Almelo, The Netherlands
*E-mail address:* smeets_luuk@hotmail.com

1078–5884/000604 + 06 $35.00/0 

prosthetic material may offer several advantages over autologous grafts such as reduced operative time and limited dissection causing lower morbidity. The purpose of this study is to assess whether the graft material influences the immediate and late amputation rate after infrainguinal bypass graft occlusion.

## Patients and Methods

This study was part of the Dutch Bypass, Oral anticoagulants or Aspirin (BOA) study; a multicentre, open, randomised trial, to compare the effectiveness of oral anticoagulants with that of aspirin in the prevention of infrainguinal bypass-graft occlusion. Between April 1995 and March 1998, 2690 patients from 77 centres received an infrainguinal bypass graft for occlusive arterial disease. A total of 40 patients were excluded or withdrawn from the study. Demographic information, medical history, vascular risk factors, indication for surgery, brachial and ankle blood pressures, status of arterial outflow vessels, site of distal anastomosis, graft material used, and concomitant antithrombotic medication were recorded. Randomisation was performed for treatment with oral anticoagulants, with a target international normalised ratio (INR) range of 3.0–4.5, or with 100 mg daily pulverised carbasalate calcium (metabolised to acetylsalicylic acid, equivalent to 80 mg). The choice for autologous saphenous vein or prosthetic grafts was left to the judgement of the vascular surgeon. The primary outcome event was graft occlusion, with the following secondary outcome events: (vascular) death, myocardial infarction, stroke, major amputation, vascular intervention, and major haemorrhage. Follow up was performed 3 and 6 months after surgery and every 6 months thereafter, and consisted of clinical examination with Doppler or duplex scanning, and by arteriography if indicated. The full study protocol and results of the Dutch BOA study have been described previously.[6]

For our study purpose all patients with autologous saphenous venous, PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene), and Dacron (polyethylene terephtalate) bypass grafts were selected from the BOA database. Amputation rate after occlusion, time interval between occlusion and amputation, and patient survival were determined.

### *Statistics*

The incidence of occlusion and amputation was calculated according to graft material and the incidence of amputation after occlusion was compared with Cox proportional hazards regression. Results are expressed as hazard ratios, with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Differences in prognostic factors between the patients with a venous or a prosthetic graft were taken into account by the calculation of adjusted hazard ratios. Patient survival was determined by life table analysis. Significance was determined at a $P$ value less than .05.

## Results

From the total BOA population we excluded 246 patients with 169 biografts and 77 composite grafts. The remaining 2404 patients were categorised into two groups (Fig. 1). The venous group consisted of 1546 patients with saphenous venous grafts, of which 1140 (74%) were femoropopliteal and 406 (26%) were femorodistal. The prosthetic group (858) consisted of 374 (44%) patients with Dacron and 484 (56%) patients with PTFE grafts; 793 (92%) bypasses were femoropopliteal and 65 (8%) femorodistal. The mean duration of follow up was 21 months for both groups.

Occlusion was verified by Doppler or duplex scanning or angiography in 92% of patients in whom this event occurred (Fig. 1). Table 1 shows the patient characteristics for the two groups. Overall, the indication for operation was claudication in 51%, rest pain in 20% and tissue loss in 28% of patients. The groups were similar with respect to gender and prevalence of diabetes. However, patients with occlusions in the venous group had more severe ischemia, less runoff vessels and were older than the patients with prosthetic grafts.

After venous bypass grafting 267 (17%) occlusions occurred. In this occluded group 171 (15%) grafts were femoropopliteal and 96 (24%) were femorodistal, leading to amputations in 28 (16%) and 26 (27%) patients, respectively. A total of 259 (30%) prosthetic bypass grafts occluded of which 234 (30%) were femoropopliteal and 25 (38%) were femorodistal grafts. This resulted in an amputation in 35 (15%) and 7 (28%) patients, respectively (Fig. 1). Thus, in the venous occlusion group 54 (20%) amputations were performed compared to 42 (16%) in the prosthetic occlusion group. The crude hazard ratio was 1.17 (95% CI 0.78–1.75) (Table 2). Fig. 2 shows the time interval between occlusion and amputation for the two groups. After adjustment for age, Rutherford classification and number of runoff vessels the hazard ratio was 0.86 (95% CI 0.56–1.32). Adjustment for trial medication had no effect on the hazard ratio estimate. Table 3 shows the amputation according to indication for operation and graft material. When the analysis was