

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

IJP: Parasites and Wildlife

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijppaw



Check fo

An unexpected diversity of trypanosomatids in fecal samples of great apes

Jan Votýpka^{a,b,*,1}, Barbora Pafčo^c, David Modrý^{b,c,d}, Donald Mbohli^e, Nikki Tagg^e, Klára J. Petrželková^{b,f,g,1}

^a Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

^b Institute of Parasitology, Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

^c Department of Pathology and Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic

^d Central European Institute for Technology (CEITEC), University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic

e Association de la Protection de Grands Singes, Centre for Research and Conservation, Royal Zoological Society of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

^f Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic

^g Liberec Zoo, Liberec, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Leishmania Herpetomonas Trypanosomatids Detection Gorilla Chimpanzee Feccs PCR

ABSTRACT

Charismatic great apes have been used widely and effectively as flagship species in conservation campaigns for decades. These iconic representatives of their ecosystems could also play a role as reservoirs of several zoonotic diseases. Recently it was demonstrated that African great apes can host *Leishmania* parasites (Kinetoplastea: Trypanosomatidae). Given that this finding raised a strong negative reaction from leishmania experts and the subsequent discussion did not lead to a clear resolution, we decided to analyze wild gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) and chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes troglodytes*) fecal samples collected from the same area in Cameroon as in the original study. Fecal samples, used to circumvent the difficulties and ethics involved in obtaining blood samples from endangered wild apes, were screened by three different PCR assays for detection of *Leishmania* DNA. We did not detect any leishmania parasites in analyzed feces; however, sequencing of SSU rRNA revealed an unexpected diversity of free-living bodonids (Kinetoplastea: Bodonidae) and parasitic trypanosomatidae) other than *Leishmania*. A single detected *Phytomonas* species, found in chimpanzee feces, most likely originated from animal plant food. On the other hand, the presence of four free-living bodonid species and four parasitic insect monoxenous trypanosomatid, including two possible new species of the genus *Herpetomonas*, could be explained as *ex post* contamination of feces either from the environment or from flies (Diptera: Brachycera).

1. Introduction

Great apes, by their very nature, are extremely vulnerable. Today, these highly intelligent, charismatic species are threatened by many factors, including destruction of their forest habitats, hunting for bushmeat and the illegal pet trade, and disease. Circulation of pathogens between free ranging primates and local human population attract a lot of attention, as primates might be reservoirs for several diseases of man and vice versa.

Due to the relatively recent and surprising findings that gorillas could play a role in the transmission of human parasitic diseases, such as malaria (e.g., Prugnolle et al., 2011) and strongylid nematodes (Hasegawa et al., 2014), and because of the newly recorded observation of trypanosomes in wild chimpanzee feces (Jirků et al., 2015), it is

important to explore the potential presence of protozoan parasites in great apes. In 2015, Hamad et al. (2015a) reported *Leishmania major* parasites in feces of wild western lowland gorillas originating from southern Cameroon. The detection was based on PCR and fluorescence in situ hybridization. This highly unexpected finding elicited a negative reaction by a group of experts with extensive experience of working in the field of leishmaniasis research (Bastien et al., 2015). The comments of Bastien et al. (2015) were published together with a reply from the authors of the original contribution (Hamad et al., 2015b).

Through this discussion, both groups of authors put forward a number of arguments supporting their claims and refuting the counterclaims. Bastien et al. (2015) raised arguments based mainly on well-known epidemiological facts, argued about the promastigote morphotype detected in the gorilla feces, and discussed the methods used.

* Corresponding author. Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Vinicna 7, Prague 2, Czech Republic.

E-mail address: vapid@natur.cuni.cz (J. Votýpka).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2018.09.003

Received 14 August 2018; Received in revised form 3 September 2018; Accepted 4 September 2018

2213-2244/ © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).

¹ J. V. and K. J. P. contributed equally to this work.

However, despite the long-term experience of both author groups in their respective scientific fields, the information provided in the communication (original article, comments and reply) is not sufficient to allow us to conclude whether or not gorillas are a possible reservoir of *Leishmania major*, and if leishmania parasites (namely promastigotes and amastigotes) are detectable in the feces of great apes. The original findings of Hamad et al. (2015a) are interesting and turn our attention to the importance of the role of great apes in the transmission, circulation and spreading of human diseases. Recent studies (Hamad et al., 2015a; Jirků et al., 2015) suggest the involvement of great apes in the circulation of dixenous trypanosomatids; in particular, those of the genera *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* (belonging to the same family Trypanosomatidae). However, there is no evidence that leishmaniasis and/or trypanosomiasis are present in the resident human population living in the study area (Hamad et al., 2015a; Bastien et al., 2015).

We believe that the findings of Hamad et al. (2015a) require further investigation. We therefore decided to contribute to this stimulating discussion by analyzing newly-acquired gorilla and chimpanzee fecal samples from an area adjacent to the origin of the samples analyzed by Hamad et al. (2015a). The newly-collected samples were analyzed using well-established methodology for various trypanosomatid and blood parasite detection that is commonly used in our laboratories to investigate mainly trypanosomes, leishmanias and plasmodium (e.g., Myšková et al., 2008; Jirků et al., 2015; Mapua et al., 2016; Ionică et al., 2017). From a large number of methods regularly used for *Leishmania* detection (see Akhoundi et al., 2017), we chose four, based on qPCR, nested PCR and conventional PCR and targeting three different DNA loci.

2. Materials and methods

Fecal samples of free-ranging central chimpanzees (Pan t. troglodytes; N = 25) and western lowland gorillas (Gorilla g. gorilla; N = 50) were collected from September to October 2014 at the northern periphery of the Dja Faunal Reserve in Cameroon around the research site La Belgique with approval of the Ministry of Scientific Research and Innovation and the Ministry of Forests and Wildlife, Cameroon. Feces were collected noninvasively from within gorilla night nests and from the forest floor under chimpanzee night nests, early in the morning (i.e., before 9am), thus ensuring that we collected only fresh feces. Samples were extracted from within the core of the feces and placed in collection tubes containing RNAlater. Samples were kept at room temperature for a maximum of one month before transport to the laboratory, where they were subsequently stored at -70 °C until further processing. DNA isolation was performed from approximately 3g of fecal homogenate using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit. A stool sample from a human volunteer was used as a negative DNA control; and two positive controls were prepared from two human volunteer stool samples each mixed with approximately 100 cells of cultured Trypanosoma ranarum or Leishmania tarentolae and kept for one week in RNAlater at -70 °C before DNA isolation.

Four different polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays were accomplished according to previously-established protocols. While quantitative PCR (qPCR) used kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) as the target (Myšková et al., 2008), conventional PCR protocols (Schönian et al., 2003) and nested approach (Jirků et al., 2015) was used to amplify a region of the Internal Transcribed Spacer 1 (ITS-1). Both these targets, kDNA and ITS-1, were used only for leishmania and/or trypanosome detection. On the contrary, less specific nested PCR protocol for SSU rRNA (Seward et al., 2017) amplify all members of the order Kinetoplastea. Positive samples on nested SSU rRNA PCR were subjected to subsequent direct sequencing and phylogenetic analysis as described by Schoener et al. (2018). The final dataset contained 314 taxa and 3339 positions.

Each sample was independently subjected to each of the aforementioned PCRs at least twice, and more often three times. The results of the above mentioned different PCR protocols have always been consistent, as reported elsewhere (e.g., Akhoundi et al., 2017).

In order to test whether it is possible to detect leishmania and/or trypanosome parasites in feces, we infected five BALB/c mice by *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*, four mice by a cutaneous strain of *Leishmania major*, and three hamsters by a viscerotropic strain of *L. infantum*. The fecal pellets were collected weekly up to two month after infection and analyzed by above-mentioned PCR protocols.

3. Results

No parasite DNA belonging to the genera *Trypanosoma* or *Leishmania* was detected in any of the analyzed samples by any of the used PCR assays. On the other hand, we detected using nested PCR targeting SSU rRNA parasitic trypanosomatids other than *Leishmania/Trypanosoma*, as well as free-living bodonids (both being members of the order Kinetoplastea), in the great ape fecal samples. Five gorilla samples contained three species of bodonids, *Bodo* aff. *caudatus* (D17, D19-66, D59), *Parabodo nitrophilus* (D50) and *Neobodo* aff. *designis* (D67), and two chimpanzee samples were found positive for two bodonid species, *Neobodo* aff. *designis* (D55-Cu34) and *Neobodo* sp. 1 (D08-Cu11).

Furthermore, we found several representatives of monoxenous trypanosomatids, mostly members of the genus *Herpetomonas*. Of four *Herpetomonas*-positive fecal samples (two of each studied great ape species), sufficiently long (~ 2000 bp) SSU rRNA sequences were obtained from one gorilla sample (D41-627; GenBank accession number is MG845925) and one chimpanzee sample (D31-Cu20; MG845928) and the subsequent phylogenetic analysis revealed two possible new herpetomonad species designated as new typing units, TU**299** and TU**300** (see Fig. 1). A nucleotide sequence-based approach allows substitution of a species that lacks detailed morphological descriptions with operational proxies – typing units (TUs) delineated in terms of sequence divergence of the appropriate marker (Maslov et al., 2013; Lukeš et al., 2018).

Another fecal sample (Gorilla, D44-1; MG845924) contained a parasite DNA which represents a possible new species (TU**231**) within the as yet unnamed cosmopolitan genus of monoxenous trypanosomatids (provisionally referred to as clade-II; *sensu* Týč et al., 2013) with a high affinity to Diptera fly hosts (J.V. and V. Yurchenko, unpubl. data). We also detected an SSU rRNA sequence in a chimpanzee sample (D51-Cu30a; MG845926) which represents a new species (TU**232**), an entirely new lineage (and thus a possible new genus) (see Fig. 1) within the family Trypanosomatidae. Finally, we found a possible new *Phytomonas* species (TU**233**; see Fig. 1) in three chimpanzee samples (D11-Cu14, D13-Cu16 and D53-Cu32; MG845926).

Experimental infection of rodents succeeded in all cases. *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* develops heavy infection in blood with serious symptoms and experimental mice had to be killed seven days after infection. Mice infected by *Leishmania major* developed skin ulcerating lesions on injected ears, while *L. infantum* parasites developed in the spleen and liver and caused only moderate symptoms in infected hamsters. While the trypanosome infections were detectable in the feces of three out of five infected mice using the above-mentioned PCR protocols, we did not detect leishmania DNA in any fecal sample of the experimentally infected rodents.

4. Discussion

The primary motivation of our study was to verify the previous findings and confirm/refute the occurrence of *Leishmania* spp. in gorillas and chimpanzees by detecting of parasite DNA in great ape feces coming from the same area as in the original study (Hamad et al., 2015a). In previous studies, we have used various detection methodologies for thousands of samples including fecal samples, and the results arising from our research have appeared in numerous studies (e.g., Myšková et al., 2008; Jirků et al., 2012, 2015; Týč et al., 2013;

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/9954312

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/9954312

Daneshyari.com